

5 September 2025

Tēnā koe [REDACTED]

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL INFORMATION AND MEETINGS ACT Request: 2025-44**

Thank you for your email of 29 August 2025 to the Carterton District Council requesting the following information:

*"There appears to be a gravel business (Kieran Oliver) operating at or around **258A Norfolk Road, Carterton**. Can you please supply a copy of the Resource Consent for this activity."*

Your request has been considered under the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 (the Act).

In response to your request, attached as **Appendix One** is the Resource consent.

Please note, the Council proactively publishes LGOIMA responses on our website. As such, we may publish this response on our website after five working days. Your name and contact details will be removed.

Thank you again for your email. You have the right to ask an Ombudsman to review this decision. You can do this by writing to [info@ombudsman.parliament.nz](mailto:info@ombudsman.parliament.nz) or Office of the Ombudsman, PO Box 10152, Wellington 6143.

Nāku noa, nā



Geoff Hamilton  
**Chief Executive**  
**Carterton District Council**

## **CARTERTON DISTRICT COUNCIL**

### APPLICATION FOR RESOURCE CONSENT UNDER SECTION 88 OF THE RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT 1991

**Application No:** 250021

**Consent Type:** Land Use

**Applicant(s):** Kieran Oliver Contracting Ltd

**Proposal:** Onsite storage of vehicle for purpose of quarrying rock and earthworks (greater than 20m<sup>3</sup>) within a Flood Hazard Zone.

**Location:** 228 Norfolk Road, Waingwa  
CARTERTON

**Legal Description:** Lot 2 DP 429333 contained within Record of Title 514934; and  
Lot 2 DP 538993 contained within Record of Title 902104

**Zone:** Operative District Plan (2011): Rural (Primary Production)  
Proposed District Plan: General Rural (notified 11 Oct 2023)

**Activity Status:** **Restricted Discretionary Activity**  
Rule 4.5.5(c) for any activity that is not required for primary production and residential purposes that requires the external storage of a vehicle; and is not otherwise listed as a controlled, restricted discretionary, discretionary, or non-complying activity.  
  
Rule 21.4.7 for earthworks exceeding 20m<sup>3</sup> within a 12-month period in a Flood Hazard Zone.

## Summary and Decision

1. It is considered that the proposal will have no more than minor adverse effects on the environment. No parties are considered adversely affected. The application is consistent with the relevant objectives, policies, and assessment criteria of the Operative Wairarapa Combined District Plan ('ODP') and the Proposed Wairarapa Combined District Plan ('PDP').
2. Pursuant to section 95A, 95B, 95D, and 95E of the Resource Management Act 1991, the Carterton District Council resolves that notification is not required.
3. Pursuant to sections 104 and 104C (Restricted Discretionary Activities) of the Resource Management Act 1991, and the provisions of the Operative Wairarapa Combined District Plan (2011), and the Proposed Wairarapa Combined District Plan (2023), the Carterton District Council **GRANTS** consent for application RM250021, received by Council on 14/03/2025, for Kieran Oliver Contracting Ltd to store a vehicle overnight, in association with permitted quarrying activity and earthworks within a Flood Hazard Area, at 228 Norfolk Road, Carterton (Lot 2 DP 538993), subject to the conditions imposed under section 108 of that Act below.
4. Pursuant to section 106 of the Resource Management Act 1991, the Operative Wairarapa Combined District Plan (2011), and the Proposed Wairarapa Combined District Plan (2023), the Carterton District Council considers the proposed development is not likely to be subject to material damage with any subsequent use not likely to accelerate, worsen or result in material damage to the land or neighbouring properties from natural hazards.

## Conditions

That;

### General

1. This consent expires in 5 years from the date of this decision.
2. Except as amended by the conditions below, the activity shall proceed in accordance with the following:
  - i) The resource consent application lodged by AdamsonShaw on behalf of Kieran Oliver Contracting Limited, dated March 2025 and supporting information submitted as part of the application.
  - ii) Norfolk Road Rock Extraction – Steve Block, 228 Norfolk Road, Erosion and Sediment Control Plan, prepared by Graeme Ridley of Ridley Dunphy Environmental Ltd.
3. That costs, pursuant to Section 36 of the Resource Management Act 1991, be paid by the consent holder.
4. The conditions of this resource consent take precedence when it is at variance with any other codes and standards cited within this consent.
5. The consent holder shall keep on site at all times a written register, which will record the following matters in respect of any complaint received by the consent holder in respect of the activity provided for by this consent:

- The name and contact details of the person making the complaint;
  - A detailed description of the nature of the complaint (including the time and date of both the complaint and the matter to which the complaint relates);
  - The response to the complaint;
  - The actions taken to resolve the issue;
  - The actions taken (if applicable) to ensure the matter leading to the complaint is unlikely to occur again in the future.
6. A written statement summarising any complaints received and recorded in accordance with condition above be prepared by the consent holder (including attachment of copies of the complaints register if applicable) and supplied to council six monthly following commencement of this resource consent being granted. Copies of the complaints register shall also be made available to council upon request at all other times, supplied within 5 working days of receipt of written request.
  7. In the event of more than 6 individual complaints are recorded in respect of visual, dust or noise generated by the activity within the first 12 months of operation, Council will require a review of the conditions in terms of section 128 of the Resource Management Act 1991, including the requirement for the consent holder to provide and independent noise monitoring report or buffer planting, solely at the consent holders cost.

#### **Infrastructure**

8. The consent holder must ensure that no nuisance effect, including dust, may be caused by discharge of material beyond the boundary of the subject site. For the avoidance of doubt material includes but is not limited to silt, sediment, vegetation and aggregate.
9. Should there be potential for wind-blown sand, soil or other material to be transported onto other properties the consent holder shall erect suitable fabric fencing (sarlou cloth or similar) or take other acceptable mitigation measures.
10. Where the existing land or vegetative cover is disturbed, the consent holder shall establish suitable ground cover as soon as practicable following the completion of each stage. For this condition "suitable ground cover" means application of basecourse, topsoil, grassing or mulch.
11. The consent holder shall make adequate provision for the control of stormwater run-off during the construction period and shall apply the appropriate recommendations found in Greater Wellington Regional Council's Erosion and Sediment Control Guidelines for the Wellington Region" and "Small earthworks – Erosion and sediment control for small sites to the satisfaction of the Council's Development Engineer. Silt retaining structures or ponding areas shall be constructed and maintained throughout the duration of the works.
12. Land disturbed by earthworks, trenching activities shall ensure that dust nuisance is managed and contained within the site
13. The consent holder shall ensure that all vehicles exiting the site do not track material onto the road.
14. If some contaminant material is tracked on to the road, the consent holder shall clean and repair the road back to its original condition. In doing this, the consent holder shall ensure that no material washes or is swept into any stormwater drains or natural drainage system.

15. The consent holder shall fully implement and comply with the approved Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) throughout the duration of the earthworks and associated activities.
16. Any works proposed shall be located at least 10 meters away from the river corridor. This buffer zone shall be maintained throughout the duration of the project to prevent disturbance of the riverbank and surrounding riparian environment.
17. The consent holder shall submit an application for any alterations to the existing vehicle crossing from Norfolk Road. Any alterations shall meet the requirements in Appendix 5 of the Wairarapa Combined District Plan.

An application form can be found on the Council's website using the link below.

<https://cdc.govt.nz/document/vehicle-crossing-application-form>

The administration fee for a Vehicle Crossing application is a non-refundable fee of \$225.00 that will be due at the time of application. For more information on Council's latest fees and charges, please visit the Council Website using the link below

<https://cdc.govt.nz/your-council/fees>

18. Prior to commencing any work and activities in the road corridor, the consent holder shall obtain a Work Access Permit (WAP) from the Council's Corridor Manager through submission of a complete Corridor Access Request (CAR).
19. The consent holder shall provide written evidence to the Council that the Corridor Manager has approved the Works Completion Notice(s) prior to applying for a s224 certificate.

#### **Advice Notes**

1. Please ensure that all appropriate consents are obtained by Greater Wellington Regional Council for the quarrying/extraction activity.
2. If any archaeological site deposits are identified during any development of the land, the owner/contractor should act in good faith and avoid effect to the deposits and contact the Historic Places Trust, Rangitane o Wairarapa, and Ngati Kahungunu Ki Wairarapa Taiwhenua immediately. Under Section 87 of Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014, it is an offence to destroy, damage or modify an archaeological site (recorded or unrecorded) without an authority from the Trust, and a fine of up to \$300,000 may be imposed on an offender.
3. Any building work associated with the proposed activity should not commence until a building consent has been obtained under the Building Act 2004. All private infrastructure works require a building consent.
4. Greater Wellington Regional Council has undertaken a regional flood hazard assessment which is a highlevel flood model for the purposes of understanding flood exposure across the Wellington Region. This assessment has indicated that some areas within all proposed Lots may be at risk of inundation from stormwater. It is therefore recommended a consent notice is registered requiring any future buildings (new or relocated) sited within the flood hazard extents to be located above the estimated secondary flood event level established in accordance with the Building Code.
5. The property falls within the Wairarapa Dark Sky Reserve, as such there are additional requirements for outdoor lighting contained within the District Plan. Council encourages the applicant and any future owners of any lot, to keep the number of outdoor lights to

the minimum. Flood lights should be avoided, and outdoor lights should point towards the ground and avoid illuminating the night sky. As a general rule, the following requirements are to be complied with:

- a. The light is controlled by a timer or sensor and turns off within 5 minutes.
  - b. The bulbs are 3000k or lower.
  - c. The lights are shielded or be tilted down, so light does not project or spill upwards.
-



# Application

## Application Site and Surrounding Environment

The application site is a 15ha property at 228 Norfolk Road (Lot 2 DP 538993; and Lot 2 DP 429333). The site adjoins the Waingawa River, and naturally has Flood Hazard Area across much of the northern portion of the site. Due to this Flood Hazard Area, the site has split zoning – being both Rural Special and Rural Primary Production. The site is zoned General Rural Zone under the Proposed District Plan (PDP).

The Taratahi Water Race runs through the driveway to the dwelling and there are associated consent notices on the title relating to the management of this feature.

There are a number of registered interests of the title including prohibition of dwellings on lower terrace (flood hazard area) and various rights of ways and telecom easements.

The dwelling is currently accessed via the existing crossing and driveway from Norfolk Road.

## Proposal

Kieran Oliver Contracting Ltd seeks land use consent in respect to the storage of vehicles relating to quarrying rock as well as for the extraction of rock greater than 20m<sup>3</sup> within a Flood Hazard Area. The applicant has land use consent for rock extraction on the two properties directly adjacent to the subject site (200 and 228A Norfolk Road).

This application will essentially be a continuation of those existing activities.

Key elements of the overall project include;

- The extraction area itself is approximately 13ha in total. Approximately 170,000m<sup>3</sup> of rock material is proposed to be extracted.
- The estimated timeframe for the full extraction process over the application area is 2 years. It is expected that a maximum of 2.0ha will be exposed at any one time.
- Extraction of rock will be loaded directly onto truck and trailer units and carted offsite to be processed. No processing of this material will occur on the site.
- One digger (excavator) will be stored on the site overnight for the duration of the project.
- An internal haulage road connecting to Norfolk Road which is surfaced and metalled will be utilised, and all vehicles will operate at less than 20kph. Millings are available to lay down on the haulage road if required. The existing vehicle entrance will be utilised, with existing vegetation removed to ensure compliant sight lines in both directions with no obstructions.
- The extraction operation will operate five days a week between the hours of 7:30am and 5:00pm. No works will occur on weekends or public holidays.
- The site will not be artificially lit.

Access to the proposed quarry site is at 258 Norfolk Road, as per the Ridley Dunphy report, this

## Activity Status

### Operative Wairarapa Combined District Plan (2011)

#### Section 4.5.5 Restricted Discretionary Activities

4.5.5 (c) Any activity that is not required for primary production and residential purposes that requires the external storage of a vehicle.

The proposal meets the requirements of a **Restricted Discretionary Activity** under the Operative Wairarapa Combined District Plan (2011). The Council's discretion is restricted to the following matters listed under 4.5.5(c) of the ODP, being:

- (i) Siting of any building;
- (ii) Design and location of the access;
- (iii) Location, size and effects of any signage;
- (iv) Amenity and visual effects;
- (v) Landscaping and screening;
- (vi) Noise generated by the activity; Changes in the type and amount of traffic;
- (viii) Effects of retail activities in the Rural Zone on the viability and vitality of the existing town centres of Masterton, Carterton Greytown, Martinborough and Featherston;
- (ix) Servicing and infrastructure requirements.

#### Section 21.4.7 District Wide Land Use – Restricted Discretionary Activities

21.4.7(a) Flood Hazard Area and Erosion Hazard Area: Any activity within the Flood Hazard Area or Erosion Hazard Area that does not comply with the standards in Rules 21.1.20 (i) – (iii).

Permitted earthwork levels within a Flood Hazard Area is no more than 20m<sup>3</sup> per site within any 12 month period. The proposal exceeds this limit and therefore triggers an RD activity under this rule.

Matters of discretion are restricted to the following:

- (i) The effects of the activity on the likelihood of flooding and/or erosion, or increase in its magnitude, including to other properties.
- (ii) Risks to people and property.
- (iii) Mitigation measures to manage the risks from flooding or erosion.

### Proposed Wairarapa Combined District Plan (notified 11 October, 2023)

The Proposed Wairarapa Combined District Plan ('PDP') was released on the 11<sup>th</sup> of October 2023 for public consultation. The PDP includes several provisions which have immediate legal effect in accordance with s86(1)(c) of the RMA (1991).

There are some General Rural Zone rules that have been given immediate legal effect however none of these relate to the matter of this resource consent application. Therefore, the assessment of the 'District Plan' compliance and activity status in this application is solely based on the ODP.

#### Weighting of Operative and Proposed District Plan Provisions



The Proposed Wairarapa Combined District Plan was publicly notified on 11 October 2023. Submissions are currently closed. Hearings on submissions to the PDP have not yet been held.

Under section 86B(3) of the RMA, rules in proposed plans on the following matters have immediate legal effect:

- a. *Protects or relates to water, air, or soil (for soil conservation)*
- b. *Protects areas of significant indigenous vegetation*
- c. *Protects areas of significant habitats of indigenous fauna*
- d. *Protects historic heritage*
- e. *Provides for or relates to aquaculture activities.*

Pursuant to Section 86D and by order of the Environment Court, the provisions of the PDP relating to rural subdivision and land use also have immediate legal effect from the date the PDP was publicly notified.

As the application does not relate to matters with immediate legal effect, the rules and standards of the Operative Wairarapa Combined District Plan should be treated with **more weight** compared to the applicable rules, standards, objectives, and policies of the Proposed Wairarapa Combined District Plan for the purposes of assessing this application.

Overall, the activity status is **Restricted Discretionary** under 4.5.5(c) and 21.4.7 of the ODP.

### **Resource Management (National Environmental Standard for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health) Regulations 2011 (NЕСS)**

The Resource Management (National Environmental Standard for Assessing and Managing Contaminants in Soil to Protect Human Health) Regulations 2011 ('the NESCS') applies when a person wants to undertake an activity described in regulation 5(2) – 5(6) (an 'activity') on land described under regulation 5(7) (a 'piece of land').

A review of the Greater Wellington Regional Council's Selected Land Use Register (SLUR) shows the subject site has not been identified as having been subject to an activity listed on the Hazardous Activities and Industries List (HAIL). There is no other evidence to suggest that any other HAIL activity has occurred on the site.

Regarding method 6(2) of the NESCS, I have considered the most up-to-date information and activities known to have occurred within the site. There is no evidence from these sources that soil contamination may have occurred within the application site.

For the reasons above I conclude that the site is not a 'piece of land' under regulation 5(7). No further consideration of this application under the NESCS is required.

### **Section 95 Assessment (Notification Assessment)**

Section 95A to section 95E of the Resource Management Act 1991 (the Act) sets out how a consent authority shall determine whether a person may be identified as being affected by a proposal, whether any effect of a proposal may be more than minor, and whether a consent application should be limited or publicly notified.

*Public Notification Assessment (Section 95A)*

- a. Step 1: Mandatory public notification of the application is not required, as the applicant has not requested public notification, notification is not required under section 95C, and the application is not made jointly with an application to exchange recreation reserve land under the Reserves Act 1977.
- b. Step 2: Public notification of the application is precluded, as the application is a restricted discretionary activity only.
- c. Step 3: Consideration of the application against this step is not required as the application is precluded from public notification under Step 2 above.
- d. Step 4: There are no special circumstances that would warrant public notification of the application.

In conclusion, public notification of the application under Section 95A of the Act is precluded under step 2 (section 95A(5)(b)(ii)).

#### *Limited Notification Assessment (Section 95B)*

- a. Step 1: Limited notification of the application to certain affected groups and persons is not required as there are no affected protected customary rights groups or customary marine title groups in relation to this application.
- b. Step 2: Limited notification of the application is precluded, as the application is subject to a rule precluding public notification.
- c. Step 3: For completeness, an assessment of the application against the requirements of Section 95E is set out in section 5 of this report. Limited notification is not required under step 3, as the adverse effects of the proposal on potentially affected persons are less than minor.
- d. Step 4: There are no special circumstances that would warrant limited notification of the application.

In conclusion, limited notification of the application under Section 95B of the Act is precluded under step 2 (section 95B(6)(a)).

## **Section 104 Assessment**

### **Section 104(1)(a) Actual and Potential Effects on the Environment (AEE)**

As noted earlier in this report, Council's discretion is restricted to assessing matters of avoiding, remedying or mitigating of any effects deriving from non-compliance with the particular standard(s) that is not met.

#### Visual and amenity effect

In respect of the permitted baseline, it is noted that the storage of large vehicle such as a digger (or excavator) is no different to the storage of any typical farm machinery which is considered to be permitted for the Rural Zone. Heavy machinery such as diggers, tractors and bulldozers are commonly used and stored on rural land as a permitted activity, and therefore this is the permitted baseline for the site.

The proposed activity involves the storage of one digger (excavator) on the subject site for the duration of the excavation project (2 years). Potential effects of the vehicle storage in respect of amenity and visual effects on a rural site is not unusual or unique. The site is over 550m from Norfolk Road and visibility will be limited. Any visual effects associated with the presence of the digger are considered to be totally appropriate.

#### Traffic and noise

The storage of the digger (excavator) on site reduces the type and amount of traffic entering and exiting the site, as it means the vehicle does not need to be transported on and off the site each day.

District Plan noise limits will apply, and operation hours will be restricted to 7:30am to 5pm. As per the Ridley Dunphy report, a noise bund will also be established on the western boundary to assist with any adverse effects of noise generation.

#### Natural Hazards

The site is identified as being within a flood hazard area under the District Plan planning maps. Prior to lodgement, GWRC river engineers were consulted. Their advice, included in Appendix C, recommends avoiding works within the River Corridor and maintaining a 10m buffer. This has been incorporated into the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan.

The proposed extraction area is flat, with contours ranging from RL 139 to RL 142m over 450m. There are no defined flow paths or significant gradients, and surrounding properties share similar topography. As such, no clean water diversion is required.

The soil type has high infiltration rates and the area's low gradient further reduces the risk of instability, subsidence, or off-site effects. The activity will not increase flood risk on the site or adjoining properties.

No other natural hazards have been identified. The erosion and sediment control plan details the earthworks methodology and rehabilitation approach. Overall, the effects of the proposal on the river and associated flood hazard are considered to be no more than minor.

#### **Section 104(1)(b)(i)-(iv) Considerations (Statutory Review)**

The higher order statutory documents relevant to considering this application are:

- Natural Resources Plan for the Wellington Region 2023
- Regional Policy Statement for the Wellington Region 2013
- Proposed Wairarapa Combined District Plan 2023
- Operative Wairarapa Combined District Plan 2011
- Resource Management Act 1991

#### **Natural Resources Plan**

Policy EW-P5 – Earthworks within Flood Hazard Overlays	Because the earthworks occur outside the designated flood pathway, within a low-risk area, and incorporate sediment controls, the proposal is consistent with EW-P5 and does not increase flood hazard risk.
--	--

It is considered that the application is consistent with the objectives and policies of the NRP.

#### **Regional Policy Statement**

<p><b>Objective 19</b> – Natural Hazard Risk Reduction</p> <p><b>Objective 20</b> – Hazard Mitigation</p> <p><b>Policy 51</b> – Minimising Consequences of Natural Hazards</p>	<p>The proposal adheres by locating earthworks outside the River Corridor and maintaining a 10 m buffer, using highly permeable soils on flat terrain - therefore not increasing flood risk or hazard exposure to adjacent properties.</p> <p>The erosion and sediment controls and low-grade extraction methodology meet the requirement to minimise consequences of natural hazards.</p>
--	--

It is considered that the application is consistent with the objectives and policies of the RPS.

### Operative Wairarapa Combined District Plan

The Operative Wairarapa Combined District Plan became operative on 25 May 2011. The following objectives and policies of the ODP are relevant to considering this application:

Chapter 4: Rural Zone	
<b>4.3.1 Objective Rur1 – Protection of Rural Character and Amenity</b>  Policies 4.3.2 (a) and (d)	The proposed activity involves the storage of one digger on the site for the duration of the excavation project. The activity is temporary in nature, with excavation expected to take a maximum of 2 years. As discussed above the presence of a large vehicle on a rural site is not unusual or unique. Furthermore, the storage of the excavator on site reduces the type and amount of traffic entering and exiting the site, as it means the vehicle does not need to be transported on and off the site each day.  Taking into account both the temporary nature and the scale of the proposed activity any effects on the character and amenity of the rural environment have been determined to be negligible.
<b>4.3.4 Objective Rur2 – Provision for Primary Production and Other Activities</b>  Policies 4.3.5(b) and (c)	
Chapter 14: Natural Hazards	
<b>14.3.1 Objective NH1 – Areas at Significant Risk from Natural Hazards</b>  Policies 14.3.2 (b)	The applicant has provided an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan which sets out how the site will be managed and monitored (in accordance with the advice received) to ensure no adverse effects on the surrounding environment.  The risk associated with the proposed extraction should be carefully considered as earthworks can alter the direction and intensity of flood waters.  GWRC River Engineers were engaged at the beginning of the project and their feedback



	advised against any works within the river corridor and recommended a 10m buffer from this zone. This is reflected in the proposal.
<b>Chapter 19: General Amenity Values</b>	
<b>19.3.1 Objective GAV1 – General Amenity Values</b>  Policies 19.3.2(a), (b), (d) (f), (g), and (h)	Nuisance generated by noise and dust will be monitored through conditions and District Plan rules. The Erosion and Sediment Control Plan address general amenity and appropriate conditions will be placed on the consent to ensure amenity of surrounding neighbours is not adversely effected.

It is considered that the application is consistent with the objectives and policies of the ODP.

### Proposed Wairarapa Combined District Plan

The Proposed Wairarapa Combined District Plan was notified on 11 October 2023. The following objectives and policies of the PDP are relevant to considering this application:

<b>GRUZ – General Rural Zone</b>	
<b>Objectives</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>GRUZ-O1: Purpose of the General Rural Zone</b></li> <li><b>GRUZ-O4: Enable compatible activities</b></li> </ul> <b>Policies</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>GRUZ-P5: quarrying activities</li> </ul>	Quarry materials are not universally available and can only be sourced from where they are located which is in almost all cases within rurally zoned land. In most cases, site restoration allows for the delivery of land for future primary production activities ensuring that any effects are on primary production activities are not long term. The proposed quarry site has been chosen not only due to the presence of aggregate, but because it is generally located away from other sensitive activities and public viewpoints and has existing access which enables appropriate access to the site for the relatively short period of time the rock extraction will be undertaken. A quarry management plan including specified hours of operation will ensure any effects are managed appropriately.
<b>NH - Natural Hazards</b>	
<b>Objectives</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>NH-O1: Risk from natural hazards</b></li> </ul> <b>Policies</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>NH-P9: earthworks in flood hazard areas</li> </ul>	The proposal aligns with the relevant objectives and policies, including NH-O1, as it avoids increasing natural hazard risks. Earthworks are located outside the River Corridor with a 10m buffer, ensuring flood pathways are not impeded. The site is flat with permeable soils and no defined flow paths, reducing the potential for off-site effects. Runoff will be appropriately managed through the erosion and sediment control plan, and the activity will not increase flood risk to neighbouring properties. Overall, the

	proposal maintains floodplain function and does not elevate risk to people, property, or the environment.
--	---

The application is therefore consistent with the PDP.

### Resource Management Act 1991

In considering this application, the relevant principles outlined in sections 6 and 7 of the RMA have been given regard to. It is considered that the activity proposed will not impact on these principles in an unacceptable way. There are no matters within section 8 of the RMA considered to be specifically relevant to the consideration of the application.

Overall, it is considered that granting of the application for subdivision consent will achieve the sustainable management purpose of the RMA as presented in section 5.

### Section 104(1)(c) Other Matters

No matters have been identified that are relevant to considering this application.

### Conclusion

Pursuant to s104C, of the Resource Management Act 1991, the council **GRANTS** resource consent, subject to conditions. In reaching this decision, the Council considers that the proposal will have no more than minor adverse effects on the environment. No parties are considered adversely affected. The application is consistent with the relevant objectives, policies, and assessment criteria of the Operative Wairarapa Combined District Plan and the Proposed Wairarapa Combined District Plan.

Reported and recommended by:

Delegated Officer authorised for final approval:



**Becca Adams**  
Planner



**Solitaire Robertson**  
Manager, Planning and Regulatory

**DATED** at Carterton this **11 April 2025**.

**For and on behalf of the**  
**CARTERTON DISTRICT COUNCIL**

Right of Objection: Pursuant to section 357 of the Resource Management Act 1991, if you disagree with this decision or any of the conditions of consent, you may lodge an objection in writing to Carterton District Council. The objection must be received within 15 working days of the receipt of this written decision.