



TE KAUNIHERA-Ā-ROHE O TARATAHI
CARTERTON
DISTRICT COUNCIL

Public Hearings Meeting

Agenda

to be held on

Wednesday 5 May 2021

Thursday 6 May 2021

at 8:30am

at the

Carterton Events Centre

50 Holloway Street, Carterton



TE KAUNIHERA-Ā-ROHE O TARATAHI
CARTERTON
DISTRICT COUNCIL

AGENDA

**The Agenda of the Public Hearings Meeting of the Carterton District Council to be held
in the Carterton Events Centre, 50 Holloway Street, on
Wednesday 5 May 2021 and Thursday 6 May 2021 at 8:30am.**

1. Karakia Timatanga
2. Apologies
3. Conflict of Interest Declaration
4. Feedback received on the 2021-2031 Ten Year Plan Te Māhere Ngahurutanga

Jane Davis
Chief Executive

Karakia Timatanga

Mai i te pae maunga, raro ki te tai

Mai i te awa tonga, raro ki te awa raki

Tēnei te hapori awahi ai e Taratahi.

Whano whano, haramai te toki

Haumi ē, hui ē, tāiki ē!

5 May 2021

Feedback received on the 2021-2031 Ten Year Plan Te Māhere Ngahurutanga

1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to provide information on the feedback received on the Consultation Document for the 2021–2031 Ten Year Plan Te Māhere Ngahurutanga, and to advise the Council on the process to finalise the Plan.

2. SIGNIFICANCE

The matters for decision in this report are considered to be of significance under the Significance and Engagement Policy. This report is prepared for the hearings in relation to the 2021–2031 Long Term Plan, Te Māhere Ngahurutanga as part of the Council’s engagement process.

3. BACKGROUND

The Consultation Document for the 2021–2031 Ten Year Plan, Te Māhere Ngahurutanga, was adopted by Council on the 17th March 2021, and released for public consultation on that day. The submission period closed midday on 19 April 2021.

The Consultation Document, and the supporting documents (including the Draft Ten Year Plan), outline the activities the Council proposes to undertake over the ten year period, and how those activities are to be funded.

Three proposed projects were specifically consulted on:

- Town centre parking
- Five Towns Trail project
- Town centre redevelopment.

In total 206 written submissions were received. Copies of the submissions have been provided to elected members separately. A copy of the submissions is also available on the Council’s website: [2021-2028 Ten Year Plan Submissions](#)

4. HEARING AND DELIBERATION PROCESS

The purpose of the hearing is to hear presentations in support of written submissions. Each submitter who has indicated they wish to speak to their submission has been allocated ten minutes, which includes presentation and the

opportunity for elected members to ask questions of clarification. A timetable of submitters is in **Attachment 1**.

Once all have been heard, Council will consider all submissions including from those who did not present and in principle agree on any changes to the Draft Ten Year Plan Te Māhere Ngahurutanga.

In accordance with the consultation principles in the Local Government Act it is important that Councillors accept views presented with an open mind and are present for the full hearing and deliberations in order to participate in the decision-making.

Where Councillors have a close association with a submitter they should carefully consider if this gives rise to a conflict of interest. If it does then a conflict of interest should be declared and that member should then not participate in the decision-making on points raised by those submitters.

5. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT PROCESS

As well as making the Consultation Document widely available, including on-line, and encouraging submissions and promoting the Ten Year Plan and engagement opportunities through various media, Councillors undertook a number of engagement activities, including:

- Age Concern Expo – Solway Showgrounds
- Relay for life - Clareville Showgrounds
- Happy to chat – Page 42 Café, Wild Oats Cafe
- Neighbours day event - Haumanu House
- Expo and quick-fire questions - Events Centre Foyer
- Carterton school gala – Carterton School
- Pack ya bags – Carterton New World
- Go Carterton Social – Little Africa
- Working bee and sausage sizzle – Carrington Park
- Carterton Farmers Market
- Paddock Day, Gladstone Complex.

Formal submissions were invited on the Consultation Document. Comments were also posted on Facebook and through other social media.

6. SUBMISSIONS AND COMMUNITY FEEDBACK

6.1. Feedback noted by Councillors

Councillors were invited to provide a summary of the informal feedback they received through their various conversations with Carterton residents and

business owners through the consultation period. The key messages received were:

- Concern about the increase in rates, and especially that lower income properties were experiencing a higher dollar increase. Issue with retired folk on one income, normally the pension, who can't afford more rates increases.
- Please would Council install a memorial plaque for Jenny Henson in the clocktower reserve.
- Wants more interaction, more often between Kapai Carterton and Council. An invite from Kapai to Council is pending.
- Please require water tanks on all new builds.
- Supports the yard moving and creation of carparks. No, to a trail between Carterton and Greytown. Would prefer the money be spent on improving footpaths within Carterton. Supports the creation of laneways within the CBD.
- Supports all three of Council's preferred options. Would like Broadway parking to be increased as well and the intersection with SH2 changed to left in, left out only.
- Wants more references to Digital Seniors in Council engagement communications. Very supportive of the free outdoor swimming pool. Wants steps taken at Carrington Park to reduce antisocial behaviour such as vaping and broken glass. Some parents won't let their children frequent the park due to these issues.
- Wants to know when the mudfish will be returned to the WWTP wetland.
- Very supportive of trails. Would like to be involved in implementing the Trails Masterplan. Suggests a trail crossing the Waiohine River near the rail bridge. South side is QEII protected. North side is a bush block owned by a farmer.
- Very concerned about rates affordability and impact of rising property values. His rates are now one third of his income.
- Doesn't support the ops yard to carparks proposal. Would like to see better signposting and utilisation of existing carparks at Memorial Square, Broadway, Library Square, Events Centre, Carrington Park, Belvedere Rd, Park Rd, Pembroke St.
- Wants water tanks included with all new builds. Wants promotion of greywater reuse. Wants Council to investigate nitrate levels in Carterton water and remove nitrates automatically at source.
- Generally supportive of the proposed ten year plan. Concerned that changes in legislation forcing compliance costs on Councils are making rates

unsustainable. Government isn't listening. Would like to see more festivals in Carterton, two suggestions are a youth festival, and a craft beer festival.

- Has some 120 year old "weathered" corrugated iron sheets from his shop that would be ideal for a sculpture. Will donate these to a local artist. Supportive of Council's three preferred options.
- Wants more transparency from Council on matters relating to project costings. What are estimates based on and what confidence should we have that they won't increase. Wants confirmation that Council will not be xeriscaping private gardens. Concerned that the closure of the Greytown transfer station will make our transfer station even more problematic for traffic. Supports moving the ops yard to increase parking in town.
- Strongly supportive of moving the ops yard and creating carparks, "sooner the better". Concerned about capacity of current potable water infrastructure. Investigation of new water supply needs to be given more urgency. Would like to see an "Industry Expo" at Carterton to appeal to Wellington/Hutt Valley businesses that may be looking to expand. Carterton will have space at Waingawa and within the eastern growth area.
- Would like to see the verge on the corner of Dalefield Rd and SH2 strengthened and sealed to reduce damage by trucks. It's not the trucks' fault as the corner turning north is tight for them. Would like a speed review of traffic on Dalefield Road due to the number of distractions and traffic movements relating to the transfer station. Supports the ops yard moving and carparks created. Supports the cycle trail from Greytown to Carterton.
- Wants bird proofing on the veranda lights outside Flair Flooring so that the gabion seats aren't covered in poop. Amazed that the gabion seats aren't full of rubbish - well done street cleaners. Wants more bike stands in town, especially near Memorial Square for those visiting the farmer's market.
- Strongly in favour of lowering the speed limit through Clareville. Would like a pedestrian crossing installed near Hilton Road.
- Supportive of the ten year plan and the three preferred options. Would really like to see the cycle trail from Carterton to Gladstone completed earlier.
- With the eastern growth proposed a concerned resident is worried about rural smells/ odours from the dairy farmers stock etc. They did not want it to be like the Parkvale mushrooms site.
- A contractor asked me to look at the opening hours of the waste landfill dump. He said it would be better to have the dump closed on Monday and Tuesday and open full days Wednesday to Sunday
- Has the council thought of subsidising any remedial work for earthquake prone privately owned buildings? This has been a question I have been asked on several occasions.

- I believe others I spoke to were completing submissions ... I had many comments about reduced lot sizes for affordable housing, so will feed that into the Combined District Plan Review.
- Generally, everyone is in favour of our preferred options.

6.2. Facebook polls

Polls were run on Facebook on the three consultation items. The feedback was as followed:

Town centre parking

The first project we want your feedback on is increasing town centre parking. We want to relocate the council operations yard from 80 Holloway Street to Daleton Farm and convert the existing site to car parks. Do you support this option?

Total votes: 117

Yes 71%

No 29%

Five Towns Trail

The second project we want your feedback on is whether we should proceed with Trail 1 of the Five Towns Trail project, connecting Greytown to Waingawa. Do you support this option?

Total votes: 94

Yes 72%

No 28%

Town Centre Redevelopment

The third project we would like your feedback on is our Town Centre Redevelopment. We want to buy land to create new public spaces and accessways. Do you support this option?

Total votes: 60

Yes 70%

No 30%

6.3. Whiteboard

Whiteboards were made available for people to write feedback on. The following comments were recorded:

- Pedestrian crossing at north end Carterton
- Bike trails x3
- Make the main street a mall, pedestrian only
- Traffic bypass
- How about hosing down the shopping footpaths, they are dirty always
- A detour road for drivers passing through Carterton
- Better water solutions
- Bike trail - South End School to Carrington Park

- More cycleways
- Make water tanks compulsory on new builds
- more trees - biodiversity
- No investment, either loan or capital in 3 waters
- More walkways/cycleways, connect schools away from State Highway (x2).

6.4. Submissions

Submissions closed on 19 April. There were 206 submissions received, of which 27 have asked to speak.

Of the three consultation items the following sets out the preferences of submitters for the three consultation items and options presented. Most submitters provided reason for their preferred options (see **Attachment 2**).

Town Centre Car Parking

Option	Number submitters in support	% submitters in support
A: No change to current situation	51	29%
B: Relocate Council's Operations Yard (CD referred option)	110	63%
C: Leave Council's Operations Yard in place and find other land for new carparking	13	8%
Total	174	100%

Five Towns Trail Project

Option	Number submitters in support	% submitters in support
A: Begin work on Trail 1 (Greytown-Carterton) and include future trails in next 10YP (CD preferred option)	94	55%
B: Proceed with entire project, completing Trails 1-4	26	15%
C: Withdraw from project	51	30%
Total	171	100%

Town Centre Redevelopment

Option	Number submitters in support	% submitters in support
A: No direct investment by the Council	12	27%
B: Invest in new public spaces and accessways (CD preferred option)	22	49%
C: Council acts as a development agency	11	24%
Total	45	100%

Overall, there was strong support from submitters and others providing feedback on the Council's preferred options for the three consultation items.

There were a number of other themes evident in the submissions. The most prominent of these was the cost of rates, with many submitters asking the Council to focus on delivering core infrastructure services before considering new projects. A number of submitters challenged the Council to do more to respond to climate change.

A summary of the submissions received is in **Attachment 2**.

7. PROCESS TO ADOPT TEN YEAR PLAN TE MĀHERE NGAHURUTANGA

Once the submitters, who have chosen to, have presented their submissions verbally, the Council will deliberate on those and all other submissions and feedback received. Council will then make decisions on any changes to the draft Plan. The decisions will be recorded as minutes to this meeting.

Decisions from the deliberations will be incorporated in a final draft Ten Year Plan. The amended plan will then be sent to Audit New Zealand to be audited.

The final plan, audit report and rates resolutions will comprise the final proposed Ten Year Plan report, which will be considered for adoption by Council at its scheduled meeting on the 23rd June.

Letters will be sent to all submitters following the adoption of the plan.

8. RECOMMENDATIONS

That the Council:

1. **Receives** the report.
2. **Hears** submitters.

3. **Considers** each submission and other feedback received on the Ten Year Plan Consultation Document 2021-2031.
4. **Agrees** any changes to the Draft Ten Year Plan, following consideration of submissions and all other feedback received on the Consultation Document and supporting information.
5. **Instructs** the Chief Executive to reflect any agreed changes in an updated Draft Ten Year Plan for consideration at the 20 June 2021 Council meeting.
6. **Notes** the Redrafted Ten Year Plan will be considered by Audit New Zealand ahead of the Council's meeting on 20 June 2021.

Jane Davis

Chief Executive

Attachment 1: Timetable of submitters

Attachment 2: Summary of submissions

Time schedule for Submission speakers

Wednesday 5 May 2021

Time Slot	Page No.	Submission No.	Speaker	Organisation Name
8:45 - 10:30am	95	52	Michelle Graham	
	320	158	Andy Curtis-Cody	
	329	160	Roger Boulter	
	135	72	Tom Conwell	
	368	168	Ron Shaw	
	419	191	Michael Hewison	
	292	151	Christine Tracey & Hon Ron Mark	
	357	161	Ray Lilley & Viv Napier	Wairarapa Dark Sky Association
	427	195	Helen Dew	
11:00 - 1:00pm	193	103	Christine Kernohan	
	265	139	Marty Sebire	
	435	199	Tim & Kat Horsbrugh	
	183	97	David Kernohan	
	388	175		Members of Sustainable Wairarapa, Wairarapa Forest & Bird and other concerned Citizens
	322	159	Julie Geange	Federated Farmers
	367	167	Mike Ashby	
	244	130	Richard Scofield	
	7	4	Alan Withy	
	64	36	Robin Weaver	
1:30 - 3:30pm	85 & 226	47 & 122	David Campbell	Hoeke Lodge
	363	165	David Campbell	
	284	148	Jason Markham (via Zoom)	
	359	162	John Keating	
	413	188	Murray Burns	
	389	176	Nik Rilkoﬀ	Age Concern
	262	137	Simon Bognuda	
	446	206	Molly O'Dwyer	

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
1	John Hartill	B	C	A	We Must control the rates we wont be able to afford to live here if you keep increasing them .So it wont matter what you chose if we have to move somewhere cheaper.
2	Ray-MacGregor	B	C	B	I do not agree with any input into cycleways in the district. They are hardly used and in other areas have become more than a nuisance when installed. Also I do not agree with a new council office being built. The one we have is quite sufficient for a small town such as Carterton. It is also an attractive addition to our town. Yes definitely. I would like to know why Carterton turns its back on the wonderful history of our town. If we don't retain this we will loose the very essence of what has made out town for future generations to identify with. I have lived in Carterton all my life and I find that Carterton is losing the identity that we all experienced when growing up. The Carterton Historic Society has captured this for the benefit of the town but receives little to no support from the Council for this. I feel that this is a very sad state of affairs which should be remedied with an annual grant to the Carterton Historical Society to help house the wonderful collection they hold.
3	Chris Miles	A	C	A	Forget about cycle ways. They are a nice to have, but not at the rate payers expense. All these things are a nice to have, but they all come at a cost to the beleaguered rate payer. Council needs to get back to the basics of parks and reserves, water, foot paths, library etc. Council needs to get the rates down to an affordable level instead of looking at building new council offices at an estimated cost of 6 million.
4	Alan Withy	B	A	B	A bypass to remove most traffic from Main St would be great. However that is at best a long-term project involving NZTA. In the meantime a roundabout at corner of Pembroke and High St would help. What I would like to know is if CDC has a position regarding a central town by-pass or not, and if it does, what is it?
5	Steph Dorne	B	A	C	We are highly supportive of revamping of the town centre but are unsure if the preferred option would be enough investment to achieve the desired outcome. This is the biggest issue facing Carterton and it needs an uplift to attract domestic tourism and private sector investment. But at the same time keeping the rural identity of our little country town. More horse riding trails, Continuation of Park Road resurfacing, Shared services, Incentivise home owners on the Main Street to increase their visual appeal.
6	Stefan Pallister	B	A	B	The options preferred by Council for all three items make sense and it appears that they have been well considered. Congratulations to Council for all their work in preparing the 10 year plan.
7	Tracey Higgins	A	B	A	The Waiohine Gorge Road. Please seal it or at least make it safe to drive on for everyone.

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
8	Darryl Rayner	A	C	B	Developing a cycle way is a “feel good” item. If we weren’t in a recession, had lower rates, more employment, housing for all, then fine go ahead. We certainly don’t need more visitors to the Wairarapa especially as this past year the area has had the biggest benefit in the country from domestic tourism. Finish the roads especially Lincoln which is a disgrace. Regarding the parking, having come from WLG I find the parking no problem. When Transmission Gully and the Saddle Rd is finished, there will be less through traffic anyway. Who is to say that people will walk the extra distance from the proposed car park to shop anyway? It seems to me they’re complaining because they can’t park outside where they want to be.
9	Martyn Dench	B	A	B	It would be great to see the trails project extended to include Mt Dick mountain bike trail and have that upgraded and extended. Mountain biking is really popular across a wide range of age groups. Having a decent trail system similar to Wellington would make Carterton a great destination and for MTB enthusiasts and schools.
10	Joy McDowall	B	A	B	I am very supportive of the Council's proposals. I hope the housing development to the east of Carterton proceeds rapidly. The provision of new housing stock will be a positive (albeit small) contribution to efforts to address the national housing crisis.
11	Donald Griffin	B	C	B	I am a rural ratepayer in Norfolk Road. I receive no water supply, no sewerage connection and no rubbish collection. The services that are available to me are totally out of proportion to the rates I am required to pay. Could you not at least provide a rubbish collection.
12	John Wren	B	C	B	
13	Robyn-Jane Wren	B	C	B	
14	Nathalie Reid	A	C	A	
15	Vicki Waller	B	A	B	Footpaths need attention, especially for the elderly, on foot or scooters and people needing pushchairs and the like.
16	Linda Montgomerie	B	A	B	Can the Police be encouraged to upgrade their building to fit better in landscape or relocate?
17	Dianne Johansson	B	A	B	
18	Joan Graham	B	C	A	No more bottle stores. Footpaths need fixing, use the trail allocation money
19	J M Booth	B	C	B	Walking & Cycling trails (while good) need to wait, so as/make essential infrastructure is a priority. I would like to see the Carterton Historical Society given support. This is our CARTERTON history! Our very base.
20	Jenny Ward	B	A	B	
21	Jeff Montgomery	B	A	B	Great job CDC. Loved the consultation document.

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
22	David & Jacquelyn Blomfield	A	B	A	We would like the council not to spend any more money on buildings and car parks. To move forward quickly with the cycle trail to increase more tourists and to encourage families to keep fit and enjoy the great outdoors. The Council to spend less money....e.g. Carterton Town clock....which is showing the wrong time! After all the money was spent on it.
23	Geoffrey Ranger	A	A	A	
24	Max Sedcole	B	A	C	Item 1, Carrington Bowling & Croquet Club have lost nearly all their car parks due to the Events Centre staff parking at the back of the events centre. Item 2, Just keep the walking tracks in our own township area. Item 3, Plan for the longer term & plan as the Development agency. Please get rid of the solid white line running alongside the car parks in the town centre, people think they can park out at that line and don't try to park inside the dotted lines. It narrows the driving lanes for buses and trucks.
25	Jack Ponting	B	A	B	Very sensible governance. See my response to the next question. Holloway Street 'left turn only' seems to work safely and well. When it is practical, I would like to see Broadway have the same scheme. And further to that when it can be afforded, perhaps Broadway have angle parking on both sides, all the way to the railway station. Then it becomes a functioning large car park as well as a safer street to get in and out of. Not all exiting vehicles need to re-enter High Street and this would increase the flow. Perhaps there would be enough parking spaces created to partially or completely remove many of the parks available on High Street, enabling more footpath and cycle paths on that stretch.
26	Bonnie Macbeth	A	B	B	I feel that Carterton is lacking in cycling and walking trails and if we want to encourage tourist to our beautiful town then the council need to provide these, similar to other towns. Covid has meant that many New Zealanders are travelling around NZ more and looking for activities for their families to participate in. The Wairarapa on a whole is lacking in this area. We need to get cyclist and walkers off main, busy roads and onto pathways where they will be safe and enjoy the scenery more. I don't feel that parking is a high priority and that the council buildings should remain in the town centre. Love the idea of new public spaces and accessways to help with the CBD development, encouraging the tourist to want to stop and spend money in our town. Rates are already high, lower rate rises are more favourable.
27	Jane M K burns (Carterton Osteopathy)	B	A	B	
28	Mark Callaghan	B	A	A	

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
29	Holly Gooch	A	B	B	Would like to see more environmental initiatives. Carterton Council has a unique situation (being a town with its own council) and has the opportunity to do some exciting environmental initiatives e.g.: become a solar town. Also - while Martinborough, Featherston and Greytown all have their own identities (wine, books, boutique shopping), Carterton does not, and would benefit from one, rather than trying to be all things to all people. What about making a foodie town? We already have Finom, Wild Oats, Clareville, Parkvale. We are in the centre of a food producing area.
30	Mike Parlett	A	C	A	Yep ,fix the footpaths and water and stop wasting money .We had parking space but past council sold it to let somebody build a timber outlet. Wonder how that happened.
31	Brendan Renall (Simply Living Wairarapa)	B	A	C	
32	Anne Nelson	A	A	B	If the council is considering making use of the proposed Wakamoekau Dam as a water source then transparency about this would be appreciated. It is a highly contentious project and expert analysis has identified several significant areas of concern. As a minimum, the community should be informed about the thinking behind the proposal to lend WWL a large sum of money to complete the consenting process (if they need it). The plan mentions being "an environmentally responsible community committed to reducing our carbon footprint..." but there is no mention of how the council will achieve this. We need proactive leadership from the council in this area - it's disappointing that it isn't apparent in this document. Overall I felt that the document, while having a user-friendly look and feel, was too 'light' and lacked detail.
33	Shaun Eaves	A	B	B	More car parks is at odds with the councils purported commitment to addressing climate change. I would rather see the town be made more accessible by greener transport options: public transport, bikes, electric vehicles (inc. public charging stations). The bike/walking trails is a no-brainer; it would be a massive boon for residents and a big attractor for visitors.
34	Derek Moore	B	B	B	The town trail initiative is too important to implement in a piecemeal fashion. There is a severe lack of safe walking/cycling infrastructure in and around Carterton and it would also offer an excellent attraction to bring tourists into the area and keep them here, rather than passing through on their way to other towns.
35	Allan Herd	B	C	B	
36	Robin Weaver	B	A	B	Five Town Trail Project: Please do not try and do this on the cheap. Limestone chip/dust is not what's wanted and it has to be on concrete or hot mix - the Lincoln Rd limestone path/cycleway is a good example of how not to do it . Attached is a photograph of the Whanganui to Castle Cliff cycleway. Concrete all the way and it has own lighting. Concrete may cost more that lime chip/dust but it requires zero maintenance and will last for just about forever it's done properly. I recommend that the time and money should be found to do the job properly - even if it takes a year or two longer to do it.

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
37	Paul Stannard	C	A	B	Re Town centre carparking: only occasionally an issue and many side streets are relatively close. The side street options should be identified and highlighted in addition to finding a few additional sites. One or two pedestrian crossings are needed on Main Rd North of roundabout especially with the opening on the Plimsoll St to Feist St walkway. One near Kent St would be best. At times it takes ages to cross and its still risky.
38	M C Gowers	B	C	N/A	I'm concerned the council has no involvement or storage for historical archives.
39	Des & Irene Lewis	B	A	B	Costs seem steep for town operations yard relocate. New office block could surely be a cheaper option? Relocate existing office block, buy a prefab building, convert shipping containers into office, canteen space etc.
40	Danijela Tavich	A	C	A	I am not supportive of the proposals because I do not consider these items to be priorities for Carterton District Council spending. Given the influx of people moving to the Wairarapa from Wellington, our already under-pressure infrastructure is going to become increasingly stretched. The current issues around drinking water being a major example of an area that needs urgent investment - much more so than the proposals outlined in the current ten-year plan. I would like to see investment in infrastructure to support the growth of Carterton prioritised. Particularly around drinking water. I would also like to see the rules around minimum lot sizes relaxed so that smaller lot sizes than 500m2 can be enabled, to support development capacity and affordable housing in the region.
41	Toby McDonald	A	C	A	Get the basics right before doing nice to have projects, i.e. roading. Rural roads are a disgrace and getting worse each year.
42	Sir Don McKinnon NZ Memorial Museum Trust - Le Quesnoy	.	.	.	Request for funding support. To read full submission, go to doc # 127170 (4 pages)
43	Sharon Kilzer	.	.	.	I am not opposed to growth, and I think Carterton is a good option for expansion out of the Greater Wellington Region. All of New Zealand is expected to grow by 20%, and the Wellington Region is no exception. These people have to go somewhere. However, I am quite concerned that, while positioning itself as a pro-growth community, Carterton appears to be currently maxed out in terms of providing basic services to existing residents, and that there does not appear to be an adequate plan in place to expand basic infrastructure and services. New houses, with new residents, don't only impact the street or neighbourhood where these new people will live, it also impacts the wider neighbourhood, town, and region..... refer to full submission received in doc #127167 & ALSO SUBMISSION #43
44	Valda Kirkwood	A	A	A	
45	Sharon Kilzer	B	B	B	Yes. I have written a letter raising my concerns that current development plans are not supported by funding plans for improvements to infrastructure. Improvements need to be made not only to new streets or neighbourhoods, but to the town as a whole, and funding needs to be built into the plan. There is already inadequate access to medical care for existing residents, but I'm not seeing a plan to fund building a second medical centre for the 2,500 new residents the plan aims to attract. Yes. I've had to ring in and speak to Raven to find an address to submit the separate letter I have written. I've also had to log onto the plan submissions page three times to find the little circle at the bottom of the page - 'below the fold' as designers would say - to click through to this survey. You have made it very hard for people to have input.

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
46	Martin Higgins	B	A	C	Option B does not on the surface improve the building stock and attract more commercial units into the town. Carterton will lag behind Greytown and Featherston unless the town centre is upgraded and variety introduced. Council needs to lead in this matter and encourage new enterprise as well as green spaces. I am extremely disappointed to note that, despite 2 very hot and dry summers and the boil water notice in place, there is still no provision to mandate for water storage tanks on new builds and no attempt to encourage retro fitting either. We installed 42000 litres of capacity 4 years ago and this has been invaluable over the last 2 summers. I consider this to be a major conservation failing which needs to be immediately included in the plan.
47	David Campbell (Hoeke Lodge)	A	C	A	
48	Richard Millington	A	A	B	I disagree that there is a lack of car parking in the town. I use the car parks a lot and have never had any trouble finding a space except during the Christmas parade. There is already no end of parking off the main street. Shifting the council buildings to a new location is an unnecessary expense.
49	John Bridge	B	C	A	
50	Mia Wilson	.	A	.	
51	Kendyll Harper	B	A	B	Love the town centre redevelopment proposal with public spaces and accessways. I have some great placemaking planning contacts who are more than happy to share ideas.
52	Michelle Graham	B	C	A	Spend the money for trail on Infrastructure at least in the short term. Private enterprise in the CBD will find its own level. I.e. Supply and Demand. Why can't I drink the water?
53	Bron Markham	B	B	A	Plan to initiate an upgrade to transfer station to include recycle reuse reclaim functions. It's a hidden festering mess with no discussion on the issue. I'm appalled at what I see when I go there. Come on guys, reduce our landfill contributions. Call for tenders. Whatever is needed.
54	Penny Taylor	.	C	B	Yes. Sounds crazy but we need something nobody else has. I suggest a Roman type bath house with many hot pools. You could have rooms for lease for massage therapists. People will pay to come 10 trip or monthly pass. Family pass public and private pools for all our sore aching bones. Our rubbish and green waste collection should be free or partially funded. It might encourage people to tidy up the town.
55	Gavin Masters	C	C	A	Focus more on infrastructure, and finishing current projects before starting anything new. Water tanks for all new builds, Get the water fixed, and why is Park Rd being gravelled it's a disgrace!!!!!!
56	Kerry Dunning	B	A	B	Move the depot asap, parking sorely needed, particularly for workers, it will free up more short term spaces. Nelson Cres full most of the time now. Anything you can do to stop trucks engine braking on the approach to the town? Must be hell for the Taratahi Hotel people, they kick the brakes on approaching that corner then again approaching the 50k zone ...
57	Lisa Searle	B	A	B	

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
58	Toni Bingley	C	A	B	Not happy at all with the proposed parking next to the event centre. Holloway St has already had enough spent on it and it is often missed due to it being unable to be accessed heading north as well as out of the way of central township. the \$800k but could be higher statement was very unsettling too. When doing a budget, I'd expect definite. Another site (i.e.: Broadway) would make far more sense. It's a shame council didn't have the foresight to purchase the old vet site as this was a main parking area and having housing here is going to cause future problems for businesses. (noise, trucks, fumes, etc etc). It is surprising it was allowed to be residential, built so close to central commercial Carterton. I feel Council has put distance between itself and the general public this past year. We felt supported and listened to before the last elections. Except for Rob who is doing an incredible job with public relations and getting in amongst the people, the rest of council feels a world away now. It's a real shame as Carterton Council were one to be very proud and confident in.
59	Kimberly Bingham	B	A	B	
60	Lindsay Durrant	C	A	B	Regarding the cycle/walkway to Greytown, please make sure that the SWDC pay their share of this also. With so many building having to be demolished, that's where CDC can buy the land and not only make laneways but also develop additional car parking. Relocating to Dalefield Road is too expensive at the moment, CDC works building have another 10+ years left in them, car parks can be developed along Broadway and the back of the Regent Theatre site.
61	Mavis Saxton	A	C	B	The Mayor's comment that the average rate increase of 5.65% = \$160.00 in the 2021/22 year is very misleading. There are no supporting figures to show how he reached this conclusion. I have done the calculation and my rates - on a medium value house - will increase by more than \$400 per year. This is just on the Carterton increase and does not include the \$250 - +++ which will be the Wellington portion, of which we have had no advice whatsoever. These calculations are on top of an average 35% increase in the capital valuations and, as such, are extremely unfair and becoming highly unaffordable for a large percentage of the local population. What, I wonder, would have been the increase requested by the Council on the previous valuations???
62	Paul Mallinson	B	A	B	To read full submission, please refer to doc #127155
63	Tania Percy	C	A	B	I think that the Carterton council should concentrate on making sure we have enough water for the whole of the town all year around, so water infrastructure and everyday things should come first, especially since our rates are the highest rates in the country. People can't afford any rate rises with all the costs that keep going to live.

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
64	Margaret Crawford	B	.	B	Additional town centre carparking essential, especially for events centre. Town centre looking drab and absentee landlords need to "come to the party". Trail project not of interest to us so will go with the flow. Carterton urgently needs social housing developments. The existing and planned developments continually putting strain on infrastructure resources. A hotel in the town would be a real pull, plus the creation of a shopping mall precinct on Holloway side of High St
65	G & C Cuttance	A	C	A	Five towns trail, ok if all the cyclists pay for it. Town centre, prefer to have a by-pass for the town. Kerb all unnecessary spending to keep the rates down. Make it mandatory for all new builds to install water tank.
66	Adam Whitmarch	A	C	A	Spend less ratepayers money
67	Mal Ritchie	A	C	A	town parking: open Holloway street for north bound traffic to enter it, sign post public parking areas and stop shop/business owners and staff from using public parking in the CBD. five towns trail project: until such time as the property owners are in agreement and proper costs can be established this should be dropped, there is a lot more work to do by council and other interested parties before we the rate payers spend any money. Town centre development: the council/rate payers have already spent thousands upgrading Holloway street/events centre....it is time that the developers/owners spend money on improving their investment for the benefit of them and the community as a whole. why is there a huge increase in traffic on Dalefield road? I am not referring to the trucks and tractors.....speeding cars! why doesn't the council look at recycling what is being taken to the transfer station instead of trucking it away to dump?
68	Ian Apperley	B	A	B	
69	John Vallely	C	A	A	There are sufficient parking spaces available in streets surrounding central Carterton. The rates increase is unsustainable for rate payers who rely on their pension to live. The investment in new infrastructure for the proposed new development for the eastern side should be funded by the developers and any borrowing needed by council to fund water infrastructure should be repaid over the expected life of same, i.e. 50 years
70	Nick Urry	A	A	C	
71	Sarah Webster Finom Kitchen	B	B	B	If we are going to be having more parking why not make part of it for EV vehicle charging? There was nothing standout in the plan about climate change. Make Carterton a stopping place for electric vehicles heading up north. Regarding the bike trails, we need to make a commitment and go 100%. I think what is missing is a strong overall vision for the town. 'A welcome vibrant community where we all enjoy living' could apply to any town or city in the world. Of course we all want those things but what makes Carterton different? You've done a lot of consultation and to come out with this vague ambiguity is disappointing. The town is full of artists, let's focus on creativity. We created an amazing arts festival which seems to be losing momentum, we have people with so much energy and drive in the arts space why not focus on this. Regarding the town, the council needs to bold with the spaces it purchases - we need to bring people into Carterton - to drive past Greytown.

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
72	Tom Conwell	B	B	B	I support the council yard moving out of Hallways St and I support the council in assisting the tennis club to relocate its location and open Carrington Park up to Holloways St and the Events Centre. This would link two of Carterton's greatest assets. I think project would be great a asset to the town centre. I support the 5 towns trail project. Its a great idea.
73	Sana Witheford-Smith	B	B	B	I think our beautiful town could do with some marketing! There's so much to love about Carterton - let's let NZ know about it!
74	Eddie Henrard	B	B	B	
75	Elizabeth Holleigh	B	B	B	
76	Christa Ashby	B	C	B	
77	Yvonne Cummings	B	A	A	
78	Marg Van Ansem	B	A	B	To provide maximum usage the cycle path should be paved or finished in a surface that is suitable for road bikes and non off-road bikes.
79	Jess James	B	A	B	I would like to see more parking spaces available on Holloway street as it can be dangerous parking on the main street especially with the larger trucks coming straight through the main part of town.
80	John Barron	B	B	B	Some years ago the Council were looking into the future of the Carterton pool. I seem to remember there were 3 options ranging from refurbishment to scrapping the existing pool and building a new 25m pool near the Carterton School. I would like to know what is happening with this project or has it been shelved.
81	Kylie Baron	A	B	B	
82	Kate Conroy	B	A	B	
83	Jen O'Donnell	B	B	A	Safe access to cycle from Carterton to Masterton for teenagers playing sport outside of school bus times would be invaluable. Additional buses catering for high school students attending sporting practice where no bus is offered for them to make their way home.

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
84	Jennie Spink	B	C	C	<p>Firstly I would like to say what a well put together document that you as a council provide to all residents.</p> <p>I have a few comments to make firstly I'm not sure if the order in which you listed the 3 items for us to consider is the order in which you planned to complete the tasks, if so I would not agree with that.</p> <p>1. Councils Option B.....The option of relocating the operations yard to Dalefield Farm is an excellent idea, and also developing that area for car parking great and I believe that the council had already planned to do this when there was talk of building a hotel on that land. However we need the High Street to be developed first to bring extra visitors to Carterton, which will then increase the need for extra parking. So this would be my option 2 to complete</p> <p>2. Councils Option ABegin work on Trial 1 (Greytown to Carterton) and include further trails development in the 2024 -2034 Long Term Plan on the remaining Trails 2-4. This is a nice to have and I think the majority of people would go from Carterton to Greytown because they have better shops and cafes, again another reason to renovate the High Street. So this would be my option 3 to complete</p> <p>3. Councils Option B (Shorten version)Create new public spaces and access ways. This is the council option that I don't agree with. Option C is the best option for the council to take, in my option. To me this is the most important issue for Carterton, our High Street, it is dead and in a lot of parts ugly. It does not encourage people to stop and visit and we are not treated as a destination for visitors, more like Greytown's poor relation. People wouldn't come to Carterton just to walk in open spaces and access ways they want good interesting shops and cafes. Perhaps we could call on the professionalism and expertise of someone like D.R Borman who has created similar places into thriving areas to visit such as Kurupuni. So this would be my option 1 to complete.</p> <p>In support of my options I would draw the council's attention to the fact that 91% of the people surveyed in 2020 wanted the council to revitalise the High Street. Not sure what the other percentages were as they were not published but would be surprised if they were as high as the town revitalisation.</p> <p>I look forward to there being a good outcome from the submissions received and also hope that enough people take the trouble to complete submissions.</p>
85	Mike Johns	.	.	.	<p>Last year I wrote to you with regards Rates and pensioners and you had the graciousness to ring me in reply for which I was very appreciative. Once again I must bring to your attention the plight of the old age and the expected large rate rises. Last year's rate rise equated to the rise in super but this year! We cannot get ahead and can barely hold our own. Prices go up all around us and if rates are too much of a hike savings have to be made on a budget that it is already stretched.</p> <p>Approximately 35% of Carterton ratepayers are Senior Citizens who would find it difficult to cope even though there is a \$640 subsidy available from the Government. We have one of the highest in the country and I can't honestly say I feel we get super care and attention for our bucks. There is a buzz in the town at the moment - will the new rates be applied to the old property values or the Q.V.'s received recently? If applied to the new QV. Some may not be able to cope.</p> <p>I would like to think the elderly give a lot to the community by way of voluntary service and our town is richer for it. For instance my wife volunteers 3 hours per week in the "Vinnies" shop and is active in the foodbank also supplying food and sauces to the "Village" behind the Baptist church. She also knits hats for babies at the hospital. I drive the Health Shuttle, organise a drop in centre in Greytown focussing on health exercises for the elderly, I am on committees for the Regional St John Board, the St Vincent de Paul local committee and help with the "Friends of Rotary". Our contribution is minimal compared to many others or the over 65's who participate in a myriad of ways, but I give it as an example I know.</p> <p>Please be very thoughtful about the plight of the elderly before you "fix" the rates. Can the rate of increase be tied into the rate of the Government subsidy? This would help greatly with the shock of large rises. Can the council look as priorities for spending and defer some work to allow lesser increases at a time of stress .</p> <p>Thank you for your patience in reading this letter.</p>
86	Ivan Burgess	A	C	A	<p>Let's try to walk before we run. Bring the 3 Councils together and get rid of 3/4 of the Councillors. The rates need to come down not go up, try living on a pension here.</p>

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
87	Rimene Horipo Rangitane O Wairarapa	B	.	A	The beautification of CDC can happen over time but the declining of its infrastructure, traffic flow needs to happen now. Wakamoekau dam could go either way "forward/decline" the CDC loan to this consent process may not be paid back to community if it fails - same as the the crown loan? Need to have a partnership with Ngati Kahungunu and Rangitane Iwi - tangatawhenua of the Wairarapa, RMA its states "in consultations with Maori/Iwi". a bypass will be essential now or if not very soon, CDC is expanding rapidly so there will be more traffic going thru the town centre. Bypass would also help pedestrian crossing the road safely. CDC water supply needs to be sorted sooner rather tha later.
88	Len Cooper	A	C	A	Council needs to show restraint in spending at this time when many people are financial stress with the High Level of Rates, and some of the infrastructure not up to standard(water, roads). Council just cannot keep putting rates up 5.95% predicted for "Nice to have projects" when the basics in the District need to be seen to. There has to be some control on the spending council is doing, as, I understand, there has been large cost over runs on the Clock Tower and the extra Sewerage ponds, these have to be paid for, and in my view, NOT by raising rates beyond the Cost of Living.
89	Maureen Wempe	B	A	A	<p>1. Only support the Carterton to Greytown cycle as long as no bully tactics are used. I have spoken to people who did not continue with subdividing as it was a requirement to allow a cycle track through the subdivision.</p> <p>2. While I understand the reasoning for the carparks in Holloway street, the traffic flow in and out of the new area needs to be carefully looked at so you are not creating bottle necks. I would hope that there are clear signs indicating where the new parking will be (and it doesn't has to be redone like the signs at the beginning of Holloway). I am also concerned that there is an estimate of costs and hope that this does not become a vast overrun in time and money. This also does not address the issue of parking elsewhere in town. With the reduction in parking on the main road - supposedly due to safety issues - and the reduction in parking around the train station, more commuters are parking in Broadway. need to look at addressing this issue and seeing where more car parks can be created.</p> <p>3. I agree that the buildings need to be revitalised, however although the \$ value of the money suggested that be put aside for the alleys etc is small, we are a small country community. Assuming that the current shops remain once the buildings are rebuilt, we do not have the population to have many more cafes, bars and art galleries, Melbourne has a population of 4.5 million to sustain their businesses. Encourage and work with businesses however I feel that the money is not going to be sufficient to be of much use. 1. Greytown and the recycling issue. If Greytown residents are given the option of a) go to Carterton tip @14km round trip or b) Featherston @28 km round trip most will take the former. I was disappointed that the CE is asking for negotiation of compensation for Greytown residents to use the Carterton tip instead of pushing SWDC to find another location. If any members of the council went down to the tip on Saturday and Sunday and look at the number of cars that use this they would see an issue. There is already a lot of traffic which will be compounded when Greytown cars come to Carterton and this will rapidly become a safety issue. Even if the hours are extended - while community has been plugging this for many years and been constantly ignored - this wont necessarily solve the issue . Assuming that the traffic flow will even out is making an optimistic assumption.</p> <p>2. Page 70 of the 10 YP. The waste management and minimization plan considers waste and diverted materials in keeping with the order of priority stated in the Act - reduction, reuse, recycle. Why then is not a smaller version of Trash Palace (located in Porirua) being mooted. This will allow for the reuse and recycling of numerous goods that are currently dumped in the tip. Another option is to have a day every 4 months where people can put usable items that they no longer require in a specific area and people can come in and pick up what they want for a minimal cost- think large scale garage sale. the price paid would cover 1 persons wages and anything not used that Vinnies/Sallies don't want would go to the tip.</p> <p>3, Page 75. Water conservation strategy. Unless there are plans that the community have not yet been privy to...which I am sure is not the case, there is a comment "xeriscaping—matching private and public garden space and species to the climate and landscape conditions so that less water is needed for irrigation during summer periods". Council at this stage does not have a say in what people plant in their home gardens so the wording "Private and" needs to be removed.</p>

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
90	Michael Machin	B	A	B	The preferred options reflect a prudent approach to budgeting. I don't understand how a project can cost \$331,000 over 10 years but the cost to ratepayers is \$11,500 per year unless it is funded over a 30 year loan. This wasn't made clear in the paper. I support slightly higher rates than budgeted to ensure out pipes and drains don't end up like Wellington's and other town assets such as public buildings are maintained properly. I support slightly higher rates to cover expenditure on new footpaths to ensure people aren't having to walk on the road (e.g. on Kent St after Taverner). The speed limits in Carterton are fine.
91	Rachel Machin	B	A	B	Town redevelopment: The Carrington Park toilets are not a good advertisement for Carterton. Commuters may choose to stop here more often if tidy and more sanitary services were provided. I suggest revamping the toilets is a high priority in any redevelopment plan. E.g. they are badly lit, the locks are inadequate and the facilities are not serviced frequently enough. Carterton needs a bypass road for heavy vehicles. The noise and risks arising from heavy vehicles operating near pedestrians lowers the tone of the whole town centre. The council should ringfence its contribution to town redevelopment to fund a bypass and let businesses pay for the town centre. DOGS: There are unattended dogs roaming the streets frequently. The council needs to enforce dog control bylaws because this is a public hazard. MEDICAL FACILITIES: COVID highlighted that the current medical facilities are inadequate, especially if the council is planning to open up East Carterton to development. It can take weeks to get a non-urgent GP appointment. Does the Council have any levers to pull here?
92	Steven Williams	A	B	A	Regardless of what the vocal minority raise clearing land for carparks is absolutely bonkers. CDC must take a leadership position to reduce the carbon dependence of our community. Further the costs of shifting council services that are unlikely to remain vested with council in the long term is a waste of money. Trails- CDC is proposing to connect Greytown to Carterton first, this is a complete waste of resources. A trail from Carterton to Masterton is required as the priority and test case. I would like to see the short trip data that identifies that there are more cars travelling between Greytown and Carterton than Masterton and Carterton per day. The idea that tourists will visit Carterton to try out our trail to Greytown is naïve, the real value comes from a safe commuting lane between Masterton and Carterton. Once more this will reduce emissions and the frustration people have with "rush hour" through Carterton. Anecdotally I know nearly 50 people that would bike to Masterton for work if they weren't risking their lives to do so, that's 50 cars off the road or 100 trips a day! Town development is important, however we have a collection of building owners that have not maintained their assets and most of the buildings on the main street are Earthquake Prone. Painting little rainbows on the footpath is not going to keep the public safe from falling parapets. Regulatory pressure must be applied to building owners to get comply with their responsibilities. Outliers will fall into line as building improvements are made. This online submission document is a bit weak, I can only see 4 lines of text at a time Please fix the footpath crossings at the SH2 end of Rhodes St, they cannot be navigated safely by the elderly, ambulant disabled or wheeled vehicles.
93	Hank Optland				please refer to full submission document - 4 pages
94	Simon Dale	.	.	.	The future of New Zealand can start from eco-innovation in a small town .. the time frame of old buildings on Carterton high street is limited thus a danger .. in the ten year plan please consider = eco - suburbs - low level eco kit buildings (homes , schools , shops etc) green parks shops in green parks road flow with big roundabouts and pedestrian crossings decentralization of old high streets by green kitsuburb / kittown policy is a better future path
95	Top part of form not completed	B	A	B	Council should make it more cost effective for residential property owners to subdivide. The giant "Red Chairs" in Carrington Park are impractical and an eyesore, again a missed opportunity to provide something to benefit many rather than a small minority group. What a waste!. As Carterton is growing & establishment of new subdivisions occur, I hope there is a requirement for developers to provide "green spaces"/ parks for children & families to access. Carrington is (skatepark is tiny and could be a real focal point and draw card, but I feel council has missed an opportunity.

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
96	Robert Efford	B	C	A	Carterton is a service town so parking is a priority. Parking in the main street should be 2 hours limit to prevent business owners and staff parking there for extended times. This could be policed with random checks being made by council staff. The new planned sub-division should not have any major costs pushed onto the ratepayers. The council already provides tar sealed roading from Park Rd to Moreton Rd with access also along Richmond Rd. Any additional roading, footpaths, sewerage and water connections required should be at the developers expense, not the existing ratepayers. The council can assist the developers with speedy handling of any permits, advice or any means, other than financial,. possible to allow this development to happen
97	David Kernohan	B	A	B	None of these options address the real priorities CDC should be concerned about - climate change; water quality and infrastructure water storage; so-called earthquake prone buildings; land use especially suburbanisation
98	Carol Fergusson	B	A	B	
99	Dave Fergusson	B	A	B	
100	Leanne Taylor	B	B	B	I see the cycleways as essential for the future health of the population and the opportunity to encourage cycling tourists to come into our area. I work in Masterton and would endeavour to use the cycleway to travel to work. This would be a much safer way to travel without the risk of cars and trucks. Please consider moving the alcohol outlets off the main street. The are a blight on the image of out town. If the town area is going to be improved then this definitely has to happen. promoting healthy living needs to be in the fore and alcohol and it's advertising needs to go!
101	Tim Lusk Wairarapa Water	.	.	.	Wairarapa Water Ltd supports the inclusion of the provision of a loan to the company on a commercial basis, up to \$400,000, should they require it to complete the consenting process and subject to a full assessment of the project feasibility at the time any loan funding is requested. The ongoing support by Carterton District council is very much appreciated and in fact critical to our being able to develop the Wakamoekau Community Water Storage Scheme. The scheme is expected to make a significant contribution to the economic development of Carterton District and well as being a material Climate Change mitigation and adaption initiative. The Scheme is also one of a set of solutions identified in the draft Wairarapa Water Resilience Strategy and Action Plan currently being finalised by District and Regional Councils. We would be very happy to make further presentations in in support of this matter if requested.
102	Diane Spowell	B	A	B	The important thing is to make a start and then progress in a measured and financially way. Carterton residents have no appetite for higher rates than are absolutely necessary to move the town forward. Over coming years there will be an increasing rating base with all the residential developments currently underway and planned for the future. Expansion of the town needs to be done in a planned way to make sure our infrastructure can cope with the increased demands, particularly our water. I think it is also important that we do not lose the unique character of our great little town - that is one of its appeals for people looking to move to the Wairarapa.

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
103	Christine Kernohan	B	B	B	<p>I think clear policies on earthquake risks should be in place before any work on the town centre revitalisation happens. It is essential that parking is prohibited on at least one side of High St between Pembroke St and Belvedere Road. The main road is too narrow, visibility is poor and traffic is dangerous particularly for pedestrians and cyclists. I am very disappointed in the general standard of the consultation process.</p> <p>I cannot believe that the 3 most important issues that the Council bring to the attention of their community in 2021 are parking, cycle trails and redevelopment of the town centre.</p> <p>Water utilisation and security for all should be number one, closely followed (or in parallel) with actions to limit the districts carbon emissions.</p> <p>WATER</p> <p>There is no mention of water tanks on new (and existing) builds as a key way to contribute to the increased demand that will occur over the next 10 years. The document cites the proposed Wakamoekau Scheme as a contributor to greater security of water storage. This scheme is highly controversial and may not proceed. It is extremely premature for this to be cited in a Council long term plan</p> <p>CLIMATE CHANGE</p> <p>Dr Rod Carr has urged that we evaluate EVERYTHING through a climate change lens. The ten year plan only mentions climate change in terms of mitigation. Transformational change is required, and the Council should be leading action not only for itself, but for its community. Leading climate change actions should be a key part of the Councils community outcomes.</p> <p>I suggest that Carterton investigates becoming a zero-carbon town, running entirely on renewable energy. To this end it would have no carbon footprint and would in this respect not cause harm to the planet.</p> <p>To become a zero carbon city, an established modern city must collectively reduce emissions of greenhouse gases to zero and all practices that emit greenhouse gases must cease.</p> <p>e.g., the council should be taking a lead on transport, (e.g. replacing vehicles with EVs, noting the need to work with GWRC to improve public transport so that people get out of cars (including local bus services) and more</p> <p>Other issues which could be addressed include food waste (8% of carbon emissions, solar panels and energy consumption)</p> <p>These are all details that should be part of a grand objective to lead Carterton (council and community) to be carbon neutral.</p> <p>This is a worthwhile objective for our town.</p>
104	Roy Robinson	B	A	B	

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
105	Kathy Bartlett	B	C	B	<p>While I am fully in support of encouraging cycling, I believe that very few Carterton people will use the cycleway proposed. Having good infrastructure within the town that supports cycling - lock ups at the train station, cycle parking spots everywhere in the town centre, and incentives at Events Centre events for those who arrive by cycle(or anything other than a car) would be preferable. Encouraging cycling within the town is by far a more important issue. Initiatives such as community bike fixing space, cycling education and events, subsidies for electric bikes etc are all appealing options with few risks. As all these boil water notices have handily pointed out - the current centralised water system is untenable as our town grows - especially as dairy continues apace in the region.</p> <p>At minimum new builds should be forced to include suitably large rain water storage tanks - these can take a burden off our communal system and are relatively cheap to install and maintain and importantly don't add a burden on the ratepayer.</p> <p>Relatedly, the subdivisions that are happening should be higher density - of course we don't want high rises and this is not likely to be popular but low rise apartments can be affordable, create a sense of community and be attractive homes for people. The housing crisis is very serious and many Carterton people can not hope to own a home in the current scenario and many will be grateful for a lower cost option that increases walking traffic in the central town area, shared amenities to lower living costs. Particularly in places within walking distance of the train station - this is a no brainer for developers and council district plans should reflect this. Incentivising developers to do projects like this and prioritising those development proposing solutions like this is important.</p> <p>It is interesting to read the values of the document include strong relationships with iwi and then no further mention of anything further to do with te ao Māori. Likewise the emphasis on environmental sustainability and then the first project being a car park!</p> <p>It is not enough to state vaguely that these are priorities, if they are strong action needs to be taken to guide the town in this direction.</p> <p>There is very little to be found in public areas about pre colonial Carterton (Taratahi) and this could be remedied relatively quickly.</p> <p>The above suggestion about water tanks for private new builds is a bare minimum if you care about creating a water wise and sustainable town. Not to mention it helps households and communities to become much more drought resilient!</p> <p>The consultation document says we should be 'committed to reducing our carbon footprint and adapting to the impacts of climate change'. What is the council doing to achieve that in the next 10 years? The council has an official Climate Change Strategy, but the targets are so vague it is hard to tell what exactly they might entail or what concrete steps the council is willing to take on the town's behalf.</p> <p>I think it is a tricky job to balance the books while achieving some of the visions I have for the town - I know everything costs money and large unsexy infrastructure projects take the majority of resources. However - climate change is here and the longer we put off dramatic moves the worse off our region will be.</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to submit and best of luck prioritising the issues that will affect all of us and the coming generations - we are running out of time to take water resilience seriously.</p>
106	Michael Spink	B	A	B	
107	Janet Lammas	A	C	A	<p>Now is a time for restraint rather than increasing spending. Many people are helping families who have been adversely affected by Covid 19. An increase in rates greater than the cost of living, is not appropriate, considering that, on a National scale, the rates in Carterton are already high compared to many other areas. There is a lot of available parking down side streets for people to use. This may require some additional street lighting.</p> <p>Lets get the basics right first such as good quality water/safe drinking water.</p> <p>Lets also remember not everyone is on two wages and not everyone has employment, and many people are retired on fixed incomes.</p> <p>Can the Council look at cutting some of its operational costs?</p>

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
108	David Lammas	A	C	A	Its appearing obvious that the Carterton DC has some rather big issues to deal with at present without going ahead with new projects. Just one is the issue with our drinking water. Another is the state of some of our roads and pavements. And the third is the significant increase in our rates. Apparently we have one of the highest rates in NZ ! How did this come about? In my view the council needs to "pull back" and look more closely at its costs. And to also concentrate on dealing with the Earthquake prone buildings around town. Council needs to be more proactive in responding to issues and getting important messages out to its residents i.e. as in the recent drinking water problems. Did they involve the Neighbourhood Support Groups to assist in spreading the message? Does the Council have a dedicated (outside) Health and Safety Advisor, not leaving it all up to its employees? Does the Council own or lease its apparent large vehicle fleet?
109	Sue Alcock	A	A	A	Can the council please open up the books for the residence can see exactly where our exorbitant rates are being used for?
110	J Lamb	B	C	.	I believe the own would benefit from reducing the speed limit through the shopping centre and finding a bypass road on the east side so the heavy transport traffic can travel north and south safely. We need our town back.
111	N R McGregor	B	C	B	Town centre must retain its historical character in the redevelopment to keep its attraction for tourists and residents. Indoor swimming pool needs major modernisation to maintain and increase usage by clubs and public. Vanity projects should be left to last. Extra pedestrian crossing needed in town centre High St.
112	Gavin Cherry	A	C	A	The rate burdens already excessive for most residents and any plans to increase this is unacceptable. The plans for towns trail is simply a luxury that we cannot afford. Water tanks should be mandatory in all new developments. The total cost of servicing new residential land with roads, footpaths and three waters should be borne by the developer/subdivider who profits from the subdividing and development NOT the existing ratepayers.
113	Craig Cherry	A	C	A	Urban growth strategy cost \$15.6 million, should be questioned as there is limited/no evidence that further significant urban growth is desirable for current ratepayers. Whether this is wanted or not should be addressed prior to allocating and committing rate payers money. Council's projected debt increase of 68% from 20/21 to 27/28 is not acceptable and any spending on "nice to have" projects should be put on hold until such time as there is sufficient funding available.
114	Karel and Bill Goodin	B	A	B	Should be at least one car park outside Take Note for disabled parking. It would be good to have more carparking in town. More disabled parking too please. Perhaps near the chemist and cafes. Reconsider the use and need for 5 minute parking. Not many people can run their errands that quickly. Revival of the skating rink would be rad, you could have an older skating night and call it "fossils on wheels"! Also, dogs have Sparks Park so how about a cat friendly "cat activity park"
115	Margo Worsfold	A	A	.	Am not prepared to select an option for Town Centre Redevelopment. Option B appeals - but it seems it would be totally dependent on property owners getting "on board" - so could be risky. Can some of the Capital Expenditure please go on improving existing footpaths? Especially Victoria & Pembroke Streets - regularly push my husband up /down from Carter Court in a wheelchair. It's hard work for both of us.
116	Vivienne Hicks	C	A	A	New builds need to install water tanks now, not wait until 2030 when a lot of the building is completed. After the debacle of e-coli in our drinking water for over a month, the top priority should be the three main core services, leaving the nice to haves till a later date as most projects seem to run over budget. The council need to look after the town centre we already have, up until a week ago the footpaths in town were a disgrace, with weeds growing along the edges and moss growing under the hanging baskets, which in itself is a danger.

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
117	David Blayney	B	A	C	
118	Steven Gregg	A	C	C	I don't know anyone with issues parking in the town centre. Additional parking will bring zero benefit to the town. I don't feel council regarding feedback from unverified users on Facebook polls etc on their decisions reflects your average Carterton ratepayer.
119	Rosalie Jurzenko	B	A	B	
120	Adair Davis	B	A	B	Bypass for trucks would be great. Planted fruit trees for public to eat in parks and on berms. Carterton becomes a recycling/repurposing centre example for other towns to follow. Including composting of food scraps..
121	Geraldine Durrant	C	B	B	
122	David Campbell (Hoeke Lodge)	A	C	A	It is of concern that the costings contained here are vague and appear incomplete. The intention to spend on questionable transport related activities at a time of extreme financial risk arising from Covid 19 is unacceptable e.g. how can additional car parks be a priority when rural roads are poorly maintained and with increased traffic volume are unsafe. The poor/dismissive council approach to issues raised by rate payers to the poor and unsafe condition arising from the increased traffic volumes on Hoeke Road. Residents are NOT against the proposed development but are deeply concerned over the dust generation problem, speed and traffic volumes. SAME SUBMITTER AS 47
123	Moira McCallum	B	A	B	
124	Andrew Long	A	C	A	Increased parking can easily be accommodated by using a little of the park grounds surrounding the play and bandstand area adjacent to the public toilets opposite New World. Increasing rates is of major concern.
125	Tony McKinnon	A	A	B	

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
126	Tracy Herbert	B	A	C	<p>The council can only help improve shop fronts and structural works and the look of the town centre if it acts as a development agency. Then it can assist through planning and finance. To improve both the look and creating and supporting retail opportunities and community use. Shop front grants, small business redevelopment loans? landlord building Regs and construction grants, for bringing the town centre buildings up to code. Making them useable for the long term. short term relocation opportunities for small to medium SMEs.</p> <p>When Managed well, These have been successful in the UK. You can develop the spaces but this needs to be in addition to making sure there are great spaces and buildings to attract, accommodate and keep business here. A town centre development agency can co ordinate that more effectively. The Three waters project. Still holds a lot of unanswered issues and unknowns. Councils will still need to have an oversight, provision for staffing to check on work quality or emergencies. Without a source of revenue to support the cost of this? Possibility for charging all households for water to cover costs of major upgrades to networks in a regional cluster. E.g. Wellington will require major infrastructure works at high cost. Will Carterton residents be facing increase in their water charges as an offset? I'm not convinced this is good for Carterton as we have already progressed well with costs and future plans in regard to our towns water supply and usage. Some improvements can be added by requirements for water tank collection on new buildings. Generally think we have this covered and would hate to see delays in our water plans as the needs of other towns become Prioritised over ours. By the fact that New Zealand will have only a limited number of companies which will be large enough to have secured the contracts. They will of course sub contract ours but at an extra cost. Value for money for residents? Will the government place a cap on the profit margin of the water companies and for how long? At what stage, Will we be charged for all the water we use? Larger families on lower incomes might be worse off. If I was The Wellington council I would say yes. For Carterton it's of no long term benefit and could also create more issues. A lump sum upfront doesn't last very long. A continuous revenue stream does.</p> <p>Maybe Compare the two over 50/100 years? Include the expected outcomes and probable risks.</p>
127	Caren Wilton	A	A	B	
128	Paul Davidson	A	C	A	
129	Craig Hood	B	A	B	<p>With respect to Town Centre Revitalisation, I think it desirable for Council to contemplate some possible short-term incentives - perhaps limited rates' relief. I would not be in favour of Council choosing to invest any ratepayers' money into private ventures. My suggestion is aimed at providing a short-term incentive {which maybe would shift the equation for a new business-owner who is likely concerned about their initial costs and possible first year's turnover.} Firstly, an admiration and gratitude to our elected representatives for [in my opinion] their above and beyond-the-call-of duty concern with, and encouragement of, community involvement and engagement. Secondly, outside this purview probably, I realise, but please may we have a serious engagement with the problems, State Highway 2 running through the centre of the town, bring. I encourage, please, a real urgency in promoting serious debate on the desirability (or no) of a highway bypass. With our promotion of development to the East of the town, the question of where such a bypass might be becomes imminently pressing.</p>
130	Richard Schofield	A	C	A	<p>All CDC development seems biased towards urban projects. Rural residents have development needs as well and these are not being addressed. e.g. How long since tar sealing has been extended on the TeWharau road?</p> <p>Is growth necessarily a good thing for our town?</p> <p>Does growth only add costs to the existing ratepayers?</p>

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
131	Brian Allen	B	A	B	I have been a ratepayer for 62 yrs in the Carterton District and must say that at the present time the whole Council organisation leaves a lot to be desired. The first problem is the 60yr old Potable water supply. The council should have upgraded this very important scheme a long time ago as the town has nearly doubled in size in the last 60yrs. There seems that not is being done at present on this very urgent matter. \at present the potable water problems should never happen. The town water service has not been flushed out for years and this should be a regular duty done by staff. This should have been the first thing done to the water service recently. As more subdivisions are planned the council needs to have the defiant requirements for road widths, curbing etc set out as developers have been allowed to do what they like. One only has to turn into Hartley Ave/Brooklyn road and end up in Charles St "what a dogs breakfast!" with different road widths, type of curb and channel and general finish etc. There is also very narrow accessways to many smaller subdivisions around town and these would restrict access for emergency services if someone has parked on the. Submitter also has concerns about: town planning staffing levels (not enough), staffing numbers being too high and the cost of staffing the Council, the qualifications of senior staff, the ability of councillors and staff to make the town what it should be, the time it took to repair the clock tower and complete the sewage scheme upgrade. He hopes the new chief executive to be appointed will address these issues.
132	Linda Sexton	.	.	.	Strongly advocate bypass.
133	Top part of form not completed	B	A	B	When creating a new car park perhaps include a couple of large water tanks. Collecting rain water from nearby buildings e.g. Events Centre. This water facility would then contribute to the towns emergency readiness in the event of large fires, water access issues in a disaster, drinking water should there be problems with the usual supply etc. Just because we haven't had a need in the past doesn't mean we wont in the future.
134	John Kerr & Jane Tucker	A	A	B	
135	Bron Robertson	B	A	B	
136	Regional Public Health	.	.	.	Please refer to full submission (9 pages) doc #127405
137	Simon Bognuda	.	.	.	Prior to the last elections a large meeting was held. The magnitude of rates rises was the MAJOR concern. All candidates promised fiscal restraint and responsibility. Also open governance. Since then we have had the largest rates increases in the country. Plus debt has begun to balloon and is planned to increase astronomically. What a legacy for the future. Now "nice to haves" are at the fore front of action plans. The basics of what a council should be providing are not being managed well. All major projects have significant over runs. The rates rise "to ensure money is set aside for the replacement of major capital items" has not been set aside and will not be available for replacements. In the words of the council it is partially going into equity. In other words it is being eaten up by operating expenses. There is no evidence of either fiscal restraint nor fiscal responsibility. The appointment of a new CEO is a great opportunity to get some good "KPIs" installed. Many plans for new subdivisions -What plans for basic supporting infrastructure? I believe the major basic projects need revisiting proper planning and costing with penalties Included for any non performance. The wastewater can not be planned or budgeted correctly under the present set or unresolved issues. I wish to speak to the plan on preferably 6 May.

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
138	Peter Little	B	.	.	<p>I have found the CDC 10 Year Plan document (Consultation Document 2021-2031) difficult to understand and reconcile the information provided. This makes it difficult to make a coherent submission on the three options being proposed by CDC.</p> <p>Examples of this are as follows, in no particular order, other than as issues arise within the paper:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comment on page 5 suggests that \$400,000 loan may be made to Water Wairarapa Ltd. Is this the same company as Wairarapa Water Ltd, with Robyn Wells noted as being the CEO? <p>Is there a possible conflict of interest problem with CDC being involved in the "consenting process", and at the same time CDC being one of the financiers, supporting the company?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A number of comments noted against the three proposed options and the associated sub-options conclude that: "There will be an increased level of service" <p>The phrase is used at least 5 times, in the Discussion Document, but there is no indication of how or why rate-payers might receive an "increased level of service"</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Town Centre Car Parking: I would support Option B relating to this project, with the relocation of the Operations Centre to Daleton Farm. The current site is clearly in the wrong place following the development of the Events Centre, and the on-going development in the Holloway Street area. • Five Towns Trail Project: I can see no benefit from this project, and cannot see any reason to have to have a Carterton to Greytown trail. I have recently spent some time in the South Island, and you can drive for miles adjacent to Bike Trails, without seeing a single cycle making use of those facilities. Also recognise the cost and lack of use of the famous Island Bay trail in Wellington. <p>There may be benefit in having walking and cycling tracks in Carterton, but I don't expect too many people walking from Carterton to Greytown and back on a nice sunny Wairarapa day</p> <p>I am also reminded of the proposal to amalgamate the three Wairarapa District Councils, and given the parochialism displayed at that time, I can't see any reason to think that the situation will be improved by having five localised communities agree on anything.</p> <p>How many cycle accidents have been reported on the current highway between Carterton and Greytown over recent times, and does that accident rate justify the proposed Expenditure?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Town Centre Redevelopment : The current "CBD" of Carterton is looking somewhat derelict with a number of empty shops, and seemingly little action being taken to require owners of Earthquake prone buildings to carry out necessary strengthening or replacement. <p>The comment that the "District Plan is unlikely to be able force" developers and investors to invest in public spaces, is a bit of a cop-out, in that the Council should have the ability to be able to enforce requirements on developers and investors, particularly when dealing with earthquake rebuilds.</p> <p>The comment in Option C that "the Council does not have the skills and experience to act as a development agency" tends to suggest that the current decline in the CBD will continue into the future. Perhaps the answer is to actually employ someone on Council staff who is skilled and experienced in this area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urban Growth Strategy: It is not clear why Council needs to provide new roads, and footpaths under this strategy, as I've always understood that this was a cost to the developer, and recoverable by the developer from the sale of the sections! There is no mention within this section about power and internet, so I presume that is a cost to the developer, and I'd be putting the reading and footpaths into the same basket. • New Council Building: Perhaps Council can set a good example to developers and investors by developing the proposed new Council Office in line with the Town Centre Redevelopment strategy. • Capital and Operating Expenditure tables: It is difficult to understand what is being spent or proposed, when costs associated with both of the above amounts on pages 14 and 15 are shown as percentages. What is the total Capital Expenditure 2021 -2031? Its all very well advising that 44% of whatever it is, is to be spent on Roads and footpaths, but the full information is sadly lacking. • Rates: While recognising that CDC rates are very high in comparison to many other local authorities, I support the intention to up-grade and expand the core water requirements. The perennial water restrictions over the summer period should become a distant memory! • Asset Management: The comment on page 19 that "Our assets are generally in good condition" seems to be at variance with the earlier advice, that new Office accommodation is required within the 10-year period. One assumes that the current office is not in good condition!

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
					<p>I have found the CDC 10 Year Plan document (Consultation Document 2021-2031) difficult to understand and reconcile the information provided. This makes it difficult to make a coherent submission on the three options being proposed by CDC.</p> <p>Examples of this are as follows, in no particular order, other than as issues arise within the paper:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comment on page 5 suggests that \$400,000 loan may be made to Water Wairarapa Ltd. Is this the same company as Wairarapa Water Ltd, with Robyn Wells noted as being the CEO? <p>Is there a possible conflict of interest problem with CDC being involved in the "consenting process", and at the same time CDC being one of the financiers, supporting the company?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A number of comments noted against the three proposed options and the associated sub-options conclude that: "There will be an increased level of service" <p>The phrase is used at least 5 times, in the Discussion Document, but there is no indication of how or why rate-payers might receive an "increased level of service"</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Town Centre Car Parking: I would support Option B relating to this project, with the relocation of the Operations Centre to Daleton Farm. The current site is clearly in the wrong place following the development of the Events Centre, and the on-going development in the Holloway Street area. • Five Towns Trail Project: I can see no benefit from this project, and cannot see any reason to have to have a Carterton to Greytown trail. I have recently spent some time in the South Island, and you can drive for miles adjacent to Bike Trails, without seeing a single cycle making use of those facilities. Also recognise the cost and lack of use of the famous Island Bay trail in Wellington. <p>There may be benefit in having walking and cycling tracks in Carterton, but I don't expect too many people walking from Carterton to Greytown and back on a nice sunny Wairarapa day</p> <p>I am also reminded of the proposal to amalgamate the three Wairarapa District Councils, and given the parochialism displayed at that time, I can't see any reason to think that the situation will be improved by having five localised communities agree on anything.</p> <p>How many cycle accidents have been reported on the current highway between Carterton and Greytown over recent times, and does that accident rate justify the proposed expenditure?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Town Centre Redevelopment : The current "CBD" of Carterton is looking somewhat derelict with a number of empty shops, and seemingly little action being taken to require owners of Earthquake prone buildings to carry out necessary strengthening or replacement. <p>The comment that the "District Plan is unlikely to be able force" developers and investors to invest in public spaces, is a bit of a cop-out, in that the Council should have the ability to be able to enforce requirements on developers and investors, particularly when dealing with earthquake rebuilds.</p> <p>The comment in Option C that "the Council does not have the skills and experience to act as a development agency" tends to suggest that the current decline in the CBD will continue into the future. Perhaps the answer is to actually employ someone on Council staff who is skilled and experienced in this area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Urban Growth Strategy: It is not clear why Council needs to provide new roads, and footpaths under this strategy, as I've always understood that this was a cost to the developer, and recoverable by the developer from the sale of the sections! There is no mention within this section about power and internet, so I presume that is a cost to the developer, and I'd be putting the reading and footpaths into the same basket. • New Council Building: Perhaps Council can set a good example to developers and investors by developing the proposed new Council Office in line with the Town Centre Redevelopment strategy. • Capital and Operating Expenditure tables: It is difficult to understand what is being spent or proposed, when costs associated with both of the above amounts on pages 14 and 15 are shown as percentages. What is the total Capital Expenditure 2021 -2031? Its all very well advising that 44% of whatever it is, is to be spent on Roads and footpaths, but the full information is sadly lacking. • Rates: While recognising that CDC rates are very high in comparison to many other local authorities, I support the intention to up-grade and expand the core water requirements. The perennial water restrictions over the summer period should become a distant memory!

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Asset Management: The comment on page 19 that "Our assets are generally in good condition" seems to be at variance with the earlier advice, that new Office accommodation is required within the 10-year period. One assumes that the current office is not in good condition!

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
139	Marty Sebire	C	A	B	<p>1. Town Centre parking I do NOT support the preferred option. I support finding other sites. There has been no analysis of the impact on carbon emissions of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. more parking b. shifting the operations yard c. operating out of the South end of town (CDC has GPS tracking of vehicle movements; growth in the town will be in the North East, not South; what will happen if Council is divested of the Three Waters? And so on) <p>People might be saying they want more parking available, but why so many? There are many other options that will not cost \$2 million, e.g. angle parking in Masson and rest of Broadway, the Council-owned Salvation Army building, Willie Wong Place. I have rarely seen all the streets around Memorial Square and Holloway full.</p> <p>2. Five Town Trails Project I support the preferred option.</p> <p>3. Town Centre Redevelopment I support the preferred option.</p> <p>Other significant issues not consulted on</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What is the Council proposing to lend \$400,000 to Water Wairarapa? This is supposedly a commercial loan. It is for obtaining resource consents. What if consents are not achieved? How will they be able to repay? R ratepayers are not a bank! 2. Why are water race rates increasing by 45 percent? 3. Climate change is almost absent from the Consultation Document, yet the Strategy is behind schedule and not being implemented. The Strategy is not even on the CDC website. 4. Where is the water management/demand strategy?
140	Maureen & Michael Leach	B	C	B	<p>You say in your plans about partnering with Hurunui O Rangi to tell stories of the first people in Carterton district also on page 4 under our vision & outcomes cultural well being again you mention a community that embraces and encourages our Cultural diversity and heritage .You are meeting with the Cultural diversity but what about the Heritage .Carterton Historical society holds many records which relate to early settlers such as church, schools, business records plans, Cemetery, family histories many photos of the area but you cannot find the money to at least part fund their rent .You say you have no money to do this, but having spent many an hour on your 10 year plan I can see a lot of areas that are being funded but may not be warranted. Do we need street parties, ? Over the past few years you are constantly asking the community "What do we want to see in Carterton "Well we have told you that many times it has become a bit of a joke with the community what next after the glass jars? If you want to encourage visitors to Carterton lets try and fix up Roads Footpaths etc first .Come on Council do your job.....</p>
141	Rena Hare	B	C	B	
142	Vicki McLachlan	B	A	A	<p>I think CDC is doing really well given the small ratepayer base from which to fund many activities. I know you get lots of negative feedback but from what I have seen during my 2.5 years living here, I think it's a great place with CDC staff who are very passionate and committed to what they do. Keep up the good work!</p>
143	Susie Barnes	A	A	B	
144	Alex Henderson	B	A	B	
145	Fiona Bendall	B	A	B	<p>Well done. Good ideas, Well presented.</p>

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
146	Kar Robinson	B	C	A	Spend the money where it's needed, like decent water storage dam and roads.
147	Odette Rowe	A	A	B	<p>We do not need more parking, we instead need to encourage more walking, biking and car pooling. There is plenty of parking available and perhaps congested areas around Strength Nation gym need to be resolved by the business owners.</p> <p>It would be great if the Council supported a walking school bus scheme to reduce school traffic and make the road safer for cyclists of all ages. It would create better health outcomes for our community too.</p> <p>The five towns trail project should perhaps have more central government funding. The bike trail scheme was an initiative by John Key - the funding might still be available?</p> <p>Carterton needs the support of an experienced Urban Planner. There need to be clear decisions about the future shape and size of our town. Currently we are expanding in all directions at the whim of property developers with no regard for green spaces, traffic flow, and building community. We seem to have lost the option for having a bypass now that Lincoln Rd has been developed. So while the town centre needs some rejuvenation, the greater town are needs to be examined in a holistic manner. It might be better to offer in fill housing closer to town for those who wish to be Wellington commuters. It might help the housing crisis to allow more granny flats and tiny houses or co-housing schemes to be built closer in the town centre. Denser housing in town makes more sense than sprawling outwards. Plus many of the new builds seem to have little interest in a garden so they might prefer to purchase townhouse style properties close to amenities. Can we please make water tanks mandatory in all new builds and encouraged in all existing properties? Can we also cap the number of swimming pools being installed since these drain the water supply over summer? Rain harvesting might be our best way of having access to drinkable water with the current problems we are experiencing.</p> <p>I would prefer that the Council does not invest any funding in the proposed Water Source scheme. In all other regions of NZ these water schemes have proven to have costly consultation rates and ultimately none of the local farmers can afford to buy into them. We would be much better off repairing all leaks, educating our community about water use and investing in community rainfall harvest with rain tanks.</p> <p>Can we please give more thought to preserving areas of the town as green spaces - parks and walking tracks between streets. This not only creates a healthier community but it would provide a nature corridor.</p> <p>The rates are simply too high with many now finding that Carterton is no longer affordable for them. I would rather focus our spending on ensuring the basics of safe water and a sound sewerage facility before splashing out on further urban development.</p> <p>The railway land on Brooklyn Road is sadly too toxic (with dumped materials by the previous leaseholder) to be used for housing. However it would be perfect for a community green space with plantings for birds and biodiversity. It could have walking tracks and be a mini Fensham closer to town.</p> <p>Thanks for all the hard work the Council does. The Operations people always turn up quickly when there are fallen trees in high winds. Our library is fantastic and we are lucky to have our swimming pool.</p>
148	Jason Markham	A	A	A	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Holloway Street. Only five of the Big Ideas call for extra parking - less than the number suggesting a McDonalds/Burger King. What is the required number of extra parks based on traffic modelling? 2. Trails. The incremental approach to developing the network is fine. Why isn't central government funding this? 3. Town Centre. It's a big late for this and won't help unless the council comes up with a vision for the whole town, including on issues like densification. When is council going to develop an urban plan and vision for the whole town area? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The rates are too high. Why has council chosen a 5.6% increase in the first year when that exceeds its own prudential limit? 2. Eastern Growth Area. Nothing in the 10-year plan indicates that this new development will be dedicated to affordable housing. Why is council not purchasing some of this land to develop for affordable housing or more council flats? 3. Whakamoekau Dam. Council is offering to lend ratepayer money to the private water company and at the same time pushing up rates. What legal authority allows council to lend to private organisations? What security is the private company providing for this loan, given it does not own any assets? 4. Water tanks. Water tanks provide distributed resilience within the community. How will Carterton achieve water supply resilience without a concerted effort to establish water tanks?

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
149	Michael Hodder	A	A	B	<p>(1) Your preferred option is expensive but I see that it is already included in the full draft Long Term Plan. Is it better to keep Council staff in the one location? I wonder whether the issue is really one of making it more obvious to out-of-town visitors where parking is available. In addition, has thought been given to offering people outside Carterton a public transport option to the Events Centre? Ideally Council would be trying to reduce individual use of cars.</p> <p>(2) The Five Trail cycling initiatives are important - and I think the most worthwhile of the three proposals offered for feedback. However, I wonder to what extent Waka Kotahi (NZTA) and the two neighbouring councils are contributing to the cost of implementing the plans. My personal preference would be to start with Option 4 (i.e. within Carterton itself) so that it is safer to bike around the town. I assume in this there will be improved safety for cyclists in crossing SH2/High Street.</p> <p>(3) I suggest that the speed limit within the town centre area along SH-2/High Street is reduced to 40 km/h. Unlike Wellington, a car/truck-free town centre is impossible for Carterton but it can be made safer. Is your preference for new public spaces and accessways supported by local town businesses, many of which currently front SH-2/High Street? Is the Council helping local businesses access expert engineering advice in addressing earthquake-prone status? What thinking has the Council given to attracting new businesses which would complement those already operating? The Consultation Document is very well presented and the Mayor's introduction usefully highlights the changes coming from Central Government.</p> <p>I was surprised to read about a new Council building at \$4.5 million and suggest that this needs more explanation and justification - particularly with the potential staffing impact from the Government's three waters reforms.</p>
150	Jonathan Carpenter	C	C	A	<p>Stop wasting our money. Please concentrate on fixing all the previous mistakes made before wasting more money, Town clock still not working, Water treatment plant still not ready. Sargent motorcycle debacle with planning permission. I am sure there are more we haven't be told about. Why not try to fix the issues in town first and maybe get some decent shops so people will visit. There are no reasons why people will shop or visit Carterton. Concentrate on fixing Carterton first and stop wasting our rates money on expensive ideas that will do us no good. Our rates are too high now stop coming up with such useless ideas. Why not donate all your income from being a councillor or mayor to the community and help those who are struggling to pay such high rates.</p>

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
151	Christine Tracey & Hon Ron Mark	A	C	A	<p>1. Increase Town Centre Car Parking. I strongly oppose this project and therefore I support Option A. I do this on the basis that the stated cost of \$905,000 is absurdly and I suspect deliberately understated. As the former mayor who signed the purchase agreement for the Daleton Farm to allow the Waste Water Project to go ahead and with the objective of one day of demolishing the Holloway St Depot and building a new Depot complete with a modern & compliant office block and all the infrastructure required to run those core functions from Dalefield Rd, I do not believe the figure stated in option B is deceptive and misleading.</p> <p>2. Begin with Trail 1 of the Five Towns Trail Project. Sadly given the stated options I support Option C, Withdraw. If there had been an Option D, "Put this Project on hold until we had full and final costs on what it was going to take to complete the stalled Waste Water Treatment Project or until the Eastern Development had been completed and we had increased our rate payer base by an amount that would have paid for it, I would have selected that, essentially just putting the project "on ice".</p> <p>3. The Town Centre Redevelopment. Given the other infrastructure priorities such as potable water supply and storage and the as yet undisclosed reasons for the blow out of costs and the delays to the Waste Water Treatment Project let alone the high level of uncertainty as to whether post the government's "3 Waters" reforms which many in LGNZ have openly stated are likely to make small councils like Carterton financially unsustainable, I think committing to Option B as council is recommending is highly risky and once again fiscally underestimated by quite some margin. I therefore support Option A. I in particular do not support the building of a new office block for Carterton District Council as given recent rates increases, future rates projections and the outstanding core infrastructure issues and that potentially CDC may not exist in five years time, that is not a priority.</p> <p>There are a number of other matters that I would like to address at the verbal hearings. Some in particular which relate to your Councils Vision Statement and your "Well Beings".</p> <p>Please note therefore that I wish to be heard in that I may be able to speak to such matters as,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. rates affordability and the potential for low income families and the elderly on fixed incomes to be priced out of the district, 2. health matters such as those created by increased traffic on unsealed road through the dramatic increase in the level dust such as on Hoeke Road and councils policy for addressing that issue, 3. the road safety issues now evident by the number of near misses occurring in the road dust up Hoeke Rd and what council intends doing to address that as construction commences on the new and newly accessed subdivisions, and 4. The priority council is placing on core infrastructure versus "nice to have" projects, and also 5. Council's long term intentions as regards reviewing its policies around infrastructure development contributions, i.e. who should be bearing these costs and how. <p>I look forward presenting.</p>

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
152	Mike Osborne	.	.	.	<p>1. Use of Facebook to gauge public preference for options</p> <p>a. While I'm supportive of easy access for residents to make submissions, this is not a suitable platform because apart from issues I cover below, it trivialises the issues as there is no guarantee that people voting on options have read the implications of the options</p> <p>b. There are no controls over who responds, non-residents may be voting</p> <p>c. On the matter where there are three (or more) options in the LTP, only two (yes/no) are presented in the Facebook online polls</p> <p>d. Do not include the results from these polls in informing decision-making</p> <p>e. Stop using this technique</p> <p>2. The Consultation document is too simple</p> <p>a. Again while I support accessibility, the consultation document overlooks key details (e.g. climate change impacts of moving depot and introducing car parks)</p> <p>b. Links to supporting documents should be made obvious/stronger in the CD.</p> <p>c. People should be encouraged to read the full draft LTP</p> <p>3. Page 19 draft plan "Carterton District Council is on track in its climate change mitigation journey"</p> <p>a. Where is the Carbon Reduction Policy (due 2020)? Where is the "action plan" referred to in the draft LTP?</p> <p>b. Have all policies been updated to include climate change impacts (as per the strategy by 2020)?</p> <p>4. Climate Change</p> <p>a. Very disappointed the mayor's introduction only make reference to climate change in regard to its impact on the redrafting of the RMA.</p> <p>b. Climate change will impact all facets of the council and residents over the span of this LTP and the subsequent decade/s. It should be central to the plan.</p> <p>c. Assign specific ownership to the implementation of the Ruamāhanga Climate Change Strategy so that it is implemented as per its stated schedule.</p> <p>5. Residents' Satisfaction</p> <p>a. Given that the 2020 survey returned a 47% score for "Residents' satisfaction with the Council's overall governance and reputation" with a target of equal or greater than 65%, what actions are included in the LTP to ensure that the target satisfaction rate will be consistently reached?</p> <p>b. Should the low (47%) rate not have triggered a detailed investigation into the specific issues that residents are not satisfied about?</p> <p>c. Conduct a detailed investigation to understand why residents are not satisfied, this should heavily inform the LTP.</p> <p>6. Water Conservation Strategy</p> <p>a. This section looks remarkably like the same section of the 2012 LTP.</p> <p>b. How will "promoting on-site rainwater collection" be implemented, especially given the findings of the Wilkie Report on rain water tanks?</p>
153	Enviroschools	.	.	.	Please refer to full submission (7 pages) doc #127403
154	Water Safety	.	.	.	Please refer to full submission (11 pages) doc #127402
155	Nuku Ora (formerly Sport Wellington)	.	.	.	Please refer to full submission (5 pages) doc #127368

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
156	Kay Leather & Richard Hall Directors of Stonehenge Aotearoa	.	.	.	Submission to the Long Term Plan of the Carterton District Council By Richard Hall and Kay Leather, Directors of Stonehenge Aotearoa Stonehenge Aotearoa is an established New Zealand icon and the programs built around it attract visitors from far and wide. It is our intension to build a science and history centre that would expand upon and develop additional displays, activities and programs at this unique venue. The Centre will encompass a number of interrelated subjects which would include the following disciplines:- Anthropology – human origins and the development of customs and beliefs; with special emphasis on Maori star-lore, Polynesian navigation, and ancient technologies such as Stonehenge. Astronomy - including cosmology, space exploration, astrobiology, and practical astronomy – celestial navigation and telescope viewing. Palaeontology - the origin and evolution of planet Earth with special emphasis on the pre-history of New Zealand and particularly, the Wairarapa. Submission Central to these developments are the stars - the magnificent night sky we have in the Wairarapa. This is something we take for granted... due to light pollution the Milky Way can no longer be seen from large regions of North America, Europe and Asia. In addition, the most magnificent regions of the Milky Way can only be seen from the southern hemisphere and come directly overhead here in the Wairarapa. Currently the Wairarapa Dark Sky Association (WDSA) is working towards creating one of the largest dark sky reserves in the world. This would put the Wairarapa on the World Stage. We at Stonehenge Aotearoa ask that the Carterton District Council continue to support and aid the Dark Sky Reserve Project which will bring large scale benefits, environmental, cultural and economic to the Wairarapa region. Thank you for your consideration of this submission.
157	Vivienne Hawken	B	A	B	Five Towns Trail, does the \$295,000 include bridging the Waiohine River? If not what is the cost to do this? Does this mean the \$295,000 is only going into planning costs and no actual trail will be constructed? What is the expected cost to complete this exercise?
158	Andy Curtis-Cody	.	.	.	<p>Carterton residents pay the highest rates in New Zealand with an average of \$3,472 (ref. Taxpayers Union 2020). By comparison Auckland councils average rates are \$3,469 (ref. Taxpayers Union 2020). CDC proposes to increase its rate revenue from \$15.1 million in the 021/022 financial year to >\$20 million by 031. The average increase in rates will be around 35%. The median income for Carterton District is \$29,800 (ref. NZCensus 018). The average rate for Carterton District is likely to increase to around \$4,687 by 2031.</p> <p>Town Centre Car Parks CDC have not described any relationship between increasing car parking and benefits to the local community. There is no business plan described in the consultation document. CDC have not put forward a business plan describing justifying spending \$905k (plus interest) on a new works yard which will not be of any direct benefit to the community. Carterton has around 4,143 private dwellings, presumably all were consulted during the recent survey. CDC stating they have 123 ideas on parking represents a tiny minority for whom the convenience of local parking is possibly a priority. Reading the consultation document there is a sense that CDC are planning on building themselves a new works yard and offering up car parks as a way to gain public support.</p> <p>Five Towns Trail Project The Wairarapa is like ‘The Land That Time Forgot’ when compared to the development of cycling infrastructure in adjacent districts. Manawatu, Kapiti, Wellington, Hutt and Hawks Bay have all developed significant infrastructure that attracts high visitor numbers. The Five Towns Project has been discussed for many years yet there is little concrete evidence of progress. The consultation document notes this project is still at the concept stage. Rather than focus on the one project CDC may consider other measures to promote cycling within the local area. During 2020 when NZ was at Alert L4 alert many families enjoyed the freedom of walking and cycling on local roads. I would suggest the factors which supported this were time and lack of vehicular traffic. CDC could make local roads more cycle/family friendly by implementing simple cost effective measures for example;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduce speed limits on designated urban roads Extend reduced speed limits further out of the urban area More signage specific to cycling Designated ‘quiet roads’ <p>Town Centre Redevelopment I don’t believe that CDC should be acquiring additional assets and accruing more debt that ratepayers will be required to service. As an alternative CDC could take a leadership and coordination role working with landlords and property owners to develop the town centre. Currently much space in the town centre is given over to car parking, it would make more sense to remove some of these parks and free up space for alternative activities.</p>

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
159	Julie Geange Federated Farmers	.	.	.	Please refer to full submission (6 pages) doc #127404
160	Roger Boulter	B	.	A/B	Please refer to full submission (28 pages) doc # 127372
161	Wairarapa Dark Sky Association Ray Lilley	.	.	.	<p>This submission has considered the Carterton District Council Vision and Community Outcomes. It is applicable to Carterton District Council Community Development Outcomes especially;</p> <p>A vibrant and prosperous business and primary sector investing in and supported by, the community, Te Ao Maori aspirations and partnerships are valued and supported.</p> <p>An environmentally responsible community committed to reducing our carbon footprint and adopting to the impacts of climate change.</p> <p>We would like to speak to our submission.</p> <p>The Wairarapa Dark Sky Association (WDSA) will soon apply to the International Dark Sky Association (IDA) for the South Wairarapa and Carterton Districts to become an international Dark Sky Reserve.</p> <p>CDC has been an enthusiastic supporter of the proposal and we want to thank CDC for seeing the potential for the Carterton District. We also want to thank council members and staff for your support in the process to date, especially the work on the Combined Wairarapa Plan Change project. We look forward to the Plan Change being adopted by CDC and SWDC soon.</p> <p>The adoption of the Plan Change and the completion of the night-time public light readings across the two districts are the final application criteria to be completed.</p> <p>Once the formal application has been lodged (and, we feel sure, granted) the next stage of maintaining Dark Sky Reserve Status must begin, together with the work required to add Masterton District to the Reserve.</p> <p>This latter project could involve a further year or more of thorough documentation and activity. It likely will be a substantially larger piece of work to complete a public lighting inventory for Masterton.</p> <p>WDSA currently is looking at the obligations involved in ensuring the region can maintain dark sky reserve status once it has been approved by IDA. These include: on-going lighting fixture measurements, education and promotion, developing information for businesses and households, and setting out options to help the community adopt Dark Sky Friendly lighting.</p> <p>WDSA is also looking at how it can ensure that the Dark Sky Reserve project continues to grow and meet IDA requirements.</p> <p>To do this we believe that it may need to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 WDSA employ a part time coordinator to manage the programme. 2 CDC and SWDC delegate part of a staff member's time to assist in coordination of the programme. 3 Costs of the coordinator initially would be shared by CDC, SWDC. 4 MDC would be asked to pay for the work necessary to extend the reserve to encompass Masterton District, then share the coordinator costs. <p>Indicative budget Engagement, advocacy, marketing and coordination \$10,400.00</p>

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
					<p>Events \$3,000.00 Masterton application (to be met by Masterton) \$8,000-10,000.00 (this to be finalised after a review of the WDSA application to IDA). We encourage Carterton District Council to support the Dark Sky Reserve Project so the Wairarapa can reap the environmental, cultural, and economic benefits world-recognised Dark Sky Reserve status will bring. These are well documented by McKenzie District Council's experience of that region's Tekapo Dark Sky Reserve. Thank you for your consideration of this submission.</p>
162	John Keating	.	.	.	<p>I wish to submit for consideration the sealing of Hoeke road. I have previously submitted to the council on two occasions my concerns about the health effects of the excessive road dust generated by traffic on our road. As I'm sure you are aware CDC have recently given consent for the development of multiple dwellings at the end of Hoeke road with no plan for improvement of an already inadequate road. In summer the road dust is choking for the residents who live close to the road, including adjacent neighbours with five young children who live meters from the road edge. This is more than an inconvenience, it's a serious health issue. I wish to make an oral submission to the council on this matter before the LTP is finalised.</p>

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
163	Under the Stars NZ Ltd Becky Bateman	.	.	.	<p>The Wairarapa Dark Sky Association (WDSA) will soon apply to the International Dark Sky Association (IDA) for the South Wairarapa and Carterton Districts to become an international Dark Sky Reserve.</p> <p>From a astro-tourism business point of view, we are excited about the possibilities and opportunities that this will bring.</p> <p>Under The Stars NZ Ltd is a nomadic stargazing service that roams around the whole region, reconnecting visitors and locals with the beauty and importance of the Wairarapa sky by hosting star parties at people’s houses and holiday accommodation. The Dark Sky Reserve plans meant that we could start a brand-new business, a local business that supports and spends locally, one that trains up locals and shows everyone that the Wairarapa is more than just food and wine. At the moment we have 8 staff members who all live in the Wairarapa.</p> <p>We were one of the first new astro-tourism businesses to arrive in the Wairarapa, and we have created a strong dark sky brand. We are different to the only other astro-toursim business, Stonehenge Aotearoa, but we have found that there is room for both businesses as the want for dark sky tourism is only increasing year on year. This year we were awarded a Bronze Qualmark award for our tours, the only astro-tourism business in the Wairarapa to have this accolade.</p> <p>Each tour links the sky to the science, cultural stories and regional myths that make our region special. We have been working with Ra Smith to ensure that these stories can be re-told correctly and how to do it respectfully.</p> <p>When we first came to the Wairarapa from Wellington, not many people knew of the Dark Sky reserve plans or even what it meant. Over the last three years we have been working hard on each tour to promote what a Dark Sky Reserve is and how we as a region can protect and enjoy it for years to come.</p> <p>It is fantastic to see the councils being supportive of the reserve and being proactive to ensure our sky is protected. Stargazing can be done all year round and will bring in a steady flow of tourists across the Wairarapa, offsetting the usual Summer busy season. Having a Dark Sky reserve will balance out the tourist season and help boost a Winter economy, as stargazers will need places to eat, drink, and sleep!</p> <p>Becky Bateman, Director of Under The Stars NZ is a committee member of the WDSA (Wairarapa Dark Sky Association) and the committee is currently looking at the obligations to maintain the reserve status. These include: lighting fixture measurements, education and promotion, information regarding businesses and households of options to adopt Dark Sky friendly lighting.</p> <p>Under The Stars NZ works with local schools to provide astronomy education and promotion as well as helping local businesses and homeowners understand their role in keeping the sky dark.</p> <p>Under The Stars NZ will continue to support the Dark Sky Project so the Wairarapa can reap the environmental, cultural, and financial benefits Dark Sky Reserve status will bring.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration of this submission.</p>
164	Ann and Richard McAdam	.	.	.	<p>We would like to see the rates spent as wisely as possible. Infrastructure, including a much better water system, roads, footpaths, sewerage, parks for the children etc. We don't want to see flash new buildings, council built car parks, biking lanes, or the Greytown to Carterton bike way. If you are going to do anything, look at a bypass for the town, the amount of traffic currently is turning a simple trip into a mammoth mission, just to get onto the main road then find a park.</p> <p>Most of all we want a rates reduction, not an increase in any way shape or form, the rates we have to pay currently are absolutely ridiculous, the most expensive in the country I was told.</p>

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
165	David Campbell				<p>My observation are relevant to the CDC LTP but will also address related issues that arise from a broader perspective. In the first instance the public document ,which I accept is well intended, is short on details and appears to assume a number of unsupported elements. I understand the councils position as it relates to requirements under the various acts arising from local body governance.</p> <p>It is difficult to get a clear understanding what the strategic plan is and how it is to be developed into a meaningful coherent statement of future intent. Instead the document is very broad and coloured with language and images more associated with social services (Well Being). As a consequence the practical issues that impact the daily lives of rate payers are largely missing e.g. safe water, waste water treatment, safe roading, community services such as additional medical and dental services and public transport to mention a few</p> <p>The survey assumes acceptance/approval of the LTP and asks the community to express a view on just three proposals contained within the LTP. The present budget and council expenditure goes largely uncontested and undisclosed which runs contra to the transparency the council claims to seek. On the three proposed projects I offer the following:</p> <p>Relocate the Council Operations to Dalefield Road and develop the existing site to provide 100 new carparks.</p> <p>The cost of this remains unclear but the costing for this appears to be significantly under estimated for a project of this size given the need to clear the existing site, develop a full new operational depot then established the new car parks</p> <p>No evidence or reason is expressed as to the need for 100 car parks</p> <p>Rate payers have expressed a need for safer rural roads before urban parking</p> <p>A cycleway between Greytown and Carterton</p> <p>No evidence is provided to support the reasonable utilisation of such a facility</p> <p>Anecdotal evidence including other jurisdictions suggest the usage rates are likely to be low.</p> <p>Public transport services exist and offer an acceptable service</p> <p>Rate payers have expressed a need for safer rural roads before cycleways</p> <p>Develop the Town Centre</p> <p>This is a commendable aspiration by council but probably beyond the capacity to deliver</p> <p>Beneficiaries such a private landlords should be encouraged to develop plans and deliver within a framework of policy agreed by council</p> <p>Council wisely recognises that it should not assume the developer role. It should provide the framework/environment that encourages local business to invest</p> <p>It should encourage investment by providing certainty around policy and planning.</p> <p>Sitting outside the LTP is, I assume, an approved operating budget for the current financial year with, I assume, projected future budgets. These are alluded to on a number of occasions the most glaring being the causal observation that a new office complex is needed for council. The LTP offers no commentary on this need and at an estimated \$4.7m this has to be one of the most significant proposed spends yet rates only a passing mention. At a time when ratepayers are facing extreme times including jobs loss I believe a full review and justification should be developed and provided. This should include the use of the private sector in the develop of such a facility for the council to lease thus avoiding major capital expenditure which can be directed to ratepayers needs.</p> <p>Finally I would like to express my concern at the dismissive approach taken on the issues raised by residents of Hoeke Road. There has never been any strong opposition to the now approved development. What has been consistently raised is the poor condition of Hoeke Road and the under maintenance. It does not meet the council definition of a well maintained road. With the increased traffic, including contractor vehicles, concerns are now real and not projections. Dust is not a “minor nuisance” but a health hazard, speed is an issue which locals are monitoring but contract vehicles are beyond local control. In the view of residents with appropriate experience/qualification the overall maintenance standard is very poor with very poor contracted maintenance services. The road requires major remedial work and sealing should now be considered</p> <p>Thank you for taking the time to review these comments and I look forward expressing my views in person.</p>

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
166	Stephan and Maria Nebel				<p>We oppose large sections of the LTP. More emphasis has to be put on addressing the lack of transparency (in particular financials) and reporting. Focus should be on bringing our very high rates down instead of heading for further increases. Money needs to be spent wisely on the infrastructure we really need, (e.g. safe drinking water and fit for purpose rural roads) before we tick the boxes for those things that would merely be “nice to have” and would push our rates up even further.</p> <p>Dear CDC,</p> <p>After having had a good look through the LTP brochure we remain unconvinced by its content and focus. As the plan points out, we are currently in a situation of uncertainty regarding Central Government’s intentions (three waters, RMA) and its implications, especially for small Councils like ours. We therefore very much question whether now is the appropriate time to commit significant funds to projects such as new Council buildings, a new operations yard, carparks etc.</p> <p>Carterton already has the highest urban rates in NZ, a maxed out UAGC and according to the LTP further rates increases are in the pipeline. Our rates have risen by over 130% since we moved here 19 years ago (way above what the inflation rate would suggest). The LTP speaks of prudent fiscal management, yet in reality there currently seems to be a significant budget blow-out for the waste-water treatment plant and – on a lesser scale – the (unfortunately rather ugly) clock tower, figures for which were actually rather hard to get. We also found it baffling, that the Council saw fit to pay out a staff bonus for the stress caused during covid – really?</p> <p>Furthermore, the LTP promises heavily on the concept of ‘wellbeing’, but unfortunately it would seem that in the eyes of CDC not all wellbeing is created equal. We live rurally on unsealed Hoeke Road. It was once a perfectly adequate gravel road, but due to a significant increase in the number of properties and therefore building activity and general traffic, it is no longer! Currently the road is a mess and a health risk. The amount of dust generated in dry conditions is beyond belief. CDC is well aware of the issue and has been provided with well researched documentation regarding health and dust. It was therefore disappointing to be informed that the effect of further development and traffic up our road has been considered ‘less than minor’ and that our concerns were obviously not deemed valid enough to be raised at the Infrastructure and Services Committee Meeting, where one would have thought the issue would have fitted perfectly in conjunction with a similar case that was discussed regarding Borlase Road. And no, we are not opposed to subdivisions, we are only appalled by the state of our road. It is clear that a new subdivision generates more traffic which cannot be beneficial to the road.</p> <p>As rural rate payers we feel somewhat disenchanted about having to deal with a basically non-existent service; nobody seems to care in the infrastructure department, despite high rates and the maxed out UAGC - for what exactly? Would CDC (administration and Councillors) please remember that the D stands for District.</p> <p>We are therefore asking for a change to the LTP:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Council main office – what exactly does ‘no longer fit for purpose’ mean? Too many staff? Is it really necessary to commit now, when three waters for instance may well result in a loss of revenue for all Wairarapa Councils and could quite possibly trigger amalgamation? Have other options been explored – for instance getting a developer to build it and replacing interest payments with rent (opex vs capex)? The private sector is better at doing stuff like this (banks have sold all their branches a long time ago and now rent/lease from the new owner). 2. Staffing levels – apparently a significant increase in the number of CDC staff under the current CE. Do we really need that many staff? There has to be a review of essential staffing levels. 3. Rates - an emphasis has to be put on rates levels. Rates are simply too high. For instance, why not implement water meters and charge per cube. Reduce the UAGC and replace it with user pays. 4. Projects (current and future) - need to be far better managed and oversight by councillors is essential. This cannot and must not be left to admin staff or the CE only. This has to happen even before the word ‘go’ – maybe taking the cheapest is too crude a measure. A potentially over 100% blow-out on the most expensive project (treatment plant) is simply unacceptable (as a side note: in the private sector project/programme managers are fired for lesser offences or the company goes bust - compare the company who has been initially awarded the contract). Should Council not have experienced staff in this area, hire an external project manager. The project manager has to report accurately and often on the actual current status to councillors (and by extension also rate payers). 5. Be transparent. Financials have to be made easily accessible for councillors (and potentially also rate payers) – so have to be decisions and the reasoning behind them. Every 3 years Councillors are measured on their performance and they need information in order to keep checks on and provide guidance to the

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
					<p>administration. It cannot and must not be the other way round.</p> <p>6. Wellbeing does very much include health – so there should be an emphasis on upgrading highly used unsealed roads that are no longer fit for purpose. The budget for this can be obtained by for the moment removing ‘nice to have items’ like the cycle way or the carpark (sealed! I might add).</p> <p>To summarize our thoughts in a nutshell: as it would appear that our top rates are currently buying us boiling water notices and unsatisfactory rural roading, we do not consider this a great outcome! Focus should be on bringing our very high rates down instead of heading for further increases. Money needs to be spent wisely on the infrastructure we really need, (e.g. safe drinking water and fit for purpose rural roads) before we tick the boxes for those things that would merely be “ nice to haves” and would push our rates up even further.</p>

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
167	Mike Ashby	A	C	.	<p>1) Town Centre Parking This is a great idea for the near future once the Wastewater Treatment Plant upgrade is completed and must not happen before the financial implications of the WWTP upgrade are known Option A – Status Quo until the financial implications of the upgrade are known. 2) Five Town Trails I believe the Papawai Marae and Urupa Hapu will resist a cycleway passing the Urupa next to the Waiohine bridge as being culturally insensitive. Historically there was a bridge spanning the Waiohine upstream at the end of Kuratawhiti Street and running into river road which may be a more suitable route. I have cycled other regions cycleways and have seen the immense economic benefit to the communities, but I believe they should not be funded through rates as those ratepayers on fixed and low incomes are already finding rates unaffordable. I believe that the cost of \$295,000 is unrealistic and should be closer to \$1.5M Option C – Other funding sources should be found and not be yet another burden by the ratepayer. 3) Town Centre Development. A cursory look at the 10 Year Plan and supporting documents indicate different levels of debt for 2029/2030. I believe the graph on page 17 of the Consultation Document is incorrect showing debt at \$37m which is in conflict with the same graph on page 88 of the Supporting Document of \$31M. I am surprised the 10 Year Plan passed Auditing process. I am concerned with the proposed debt levels and potential implication on ratepayers if interest rates rose to the historical average of 5%. The interest charge to the ratepayers would increase from \$150,750 now on \$16.75m @ 0.9% to \$1.55m annually on \$31m @5%. Some of this spend on the Urban Growth strategy is on the expectation of growth, which may not happen or may happen slower than envisaged, further burdening existing ratepayers. I am of the understanding that with changes to the District Plan there could be up to 1,000 lots in our existing Urban Zone for urban infill housing. Some of this proposed spend can be delayed.</p> <p>Carterton has a small rating base with many ratepayers in the lower socio-economic group, we have to be cautious with debt and expenditure which will increase rates to unaffordable levels.</p> <p>Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade I am asking Councillors to review the Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade immediately before the CE moves on as I am concerned a lot of Intellectual Property (IP) of the project will be lost. I am aware much of the IP of the initial consultants was not retained and the knowledge of how to operate the plant once commissioned lies with those consultants who designed the project. Recent discussions with the original consultants have highlighted this as a real issue. Also of concern is the lack of continuity of project management, leading to budget blowouts and extended timelines, poor community consultation and no updates on budgets. Word is that the project is already \$4M over budget, which shows a similar level of incompetence as the Clocktower Earthquake Strengthening project. Reading Appendix C of the Infrastructure Strategy 2021-2051 of the 10 Year Plan, Table 2: Historic Operating and Capital Expenditure 2014-2020 we have spent \$10,753,611 in the last 7 years on capex for wastewater and plan on \$8,997,821 in the next 10 years (page 61 of the Supporting Document) of which 696,247 pertains to the Wastewater Treatment Plant and Wetlands. These are massive sums for such a small council and rating base. I wish to speak to this submission on 5 or 6 May.</p>

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
168	Ron Shaw	B	A	B	Please refer to full submission (8 pages) doc #127395
169	Rangitane tu Mai Ra Trust	.	.	.	<p>Key Issues:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Town Centre Planning While we agree with option B relocating the council operations yard, we also ask the Council whether they are considering implementing charging for parking. This may help to offset some of the costs of redeveloping the Town Centre carparking. As a rural town we understand that the Carterton people may not like this option but when one puts it up against a future of increased rates it may be more palatable. 2. Five Towns Trail This is great tourism venture, but why only to Greytown and not Masterton too? Yes one may argue – costs, but don't Tourists flow from both ends of Carterton. Has the Council investigated potential Tourism investors, or potential new Business investors especially now the borders have opened. Has the Council met with Tourism NZ and other business groups about how this Trail can be realised one can utilize other sources of funding to support the ratepayer dollar. 3. Town Centre Redevelopment It seems the Council could be between a rock and hard place if external investors are hard to find for this redevelopment. While the Councils prefer option is B and a lot has to do with costs, we are not sure whether this is the ideal option especially if the Carterton people want a say over how their Town will look through a redevelopment phase. It seems which ever way one looks at this issue its going to cost, so if that is the case then ensure that the money spent is well worth it and one is not going back in 10 years-time to change it. Perhaps model versions with costings of what a redeveloped Town Centre with each costing (models they can see, feel and touch) for consultation may be of help to convince ratepayers of which options available. <p>Final comment: From reading the Carterton DC LTP there is no hiding the fact a rates increase may be on the cards. However, with the proposed increase in population and dwellings this may help to off set such big proposed rates increases. I'm not sure if Iwi have been consulted on how they can be involved in this LTP other than sitting at a Council table or whether Council staff have been to talk to especially the PSGE's. Other than the three issues identified, the Council face other huge issues especially with regards to Water resilience, three waters (fresh, storm and sewage) , roading, Maori wards and environmental/climate change. We remain hopeful there will be ample financial support from the government and regional council to help small councils like Carterton address these. We do not wish to speak to our submission at this stage.</p>

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
170	Xavier Warne	B	B	B	On the carparking specifically I would like to question the use of a general rates rise to cover the cost of the new car park when a simple charge for parking could do the same whilst actually targeting the beneficiaries of the council spending. Obviously I would see no problem with increasing general rates to fund the moving of the council buildings as part of their natural replacement cycle. Charging everyone for a service (car storage) that only some of the town will use acts as a transfer of wealth from active and public transport users to car users that seems at odds with the carbon-reducing intention of reducing car use and promoting activity in the town centre. Disabled or elderly parks could be reserved and kept free of charge. If the functionality of the street parking on the state highway in town is as poorly functioning as mentioned in the consultation document I'd propose considering whether this public space could be better used - perhaps as a cycleway, widened footpath or space for shops to be able to extend out to. This could align with the intention to make the town centre more vibrant.
171	Mike Zollner	B	B	B	Item 1: I agree that additional parking close to the events centre would support large events to be able to be held, however I generally don't think that urban Carterton needs additional parking near the town as this will only further encourage people (excepting the elderly) from driving short distances to town rather than using active transport. I think that the ideal approach would be to use flexible carpark areas that can be utilised during an event, for example additional carparking on Masson Street. Masson Street is very wide and would be well suited to temporary carparking (with entrances and exits on both ends), as it almost never has traffic on it and it wouldn't affect traffic flows significantly. I also think generally urban Carterton could have parking spaces dedicated to the elderly close to town, to allow them to access the bus stop, events centre and shops without needing to compete with others. A slight shortage of carparking associated with investment in active transport safety in urban Carterton could hopefully help to reduce car usage for those living nearby. A place like Carterton has a real opportunity to achieve this, with already wide streets and generally a high abundance of existing parking. Item 2: My preferred option is not that you invest in all 4 now, but that you do trails 1-2 and then 3-4 later. Access to Masterton on bike is a really important step for allowing Carterton residents to access amenities that they can't access in Carterton without car usage, and I think this cycling corridor from Greytown through to Masterton should be a priority for reducing carbon emissions and improving the uptake of safe, active transport between towns. This wouldn't require the significant debt of all 4 trails now but would create more meaningful outcomes in the shorter term. Ten years is a long time for people to be dangerously cycling along the motorway if they want to access Masterton! With pressures from central government increasing to reduce petrol car ownership dramatically over the next decades, we need investment like this to make it realistic for people not to own a car or to car share. Item 3: Yes to revitalisation!! It would be great to see the community and local businesses involved in the designing and creation of these public spaces. A few of the parks could also benefit from some community involvement in beautifying them and connecting them to other urban spaces in the town, for example the little park behind New World which feels a bit forgotten. We have been eyeing up the laneway next to Finom cafe as it has so much potential to be a laneway! You just need the laneways not to feel dark and lonely, so encouraging business buy in through cafes and bars spilling out into these laneways would really help to keep them lively.
172	Kevin Sullivan	A	C	A	Whilst I support the above items in principle I have doubts about the council's ability to deliver capital projects within a reasonable timeframe and close to budget. I have therefore indicated that I do not believe any of the proposed projects should proceed at this time. The delays in the clocktower project and the lack of progress on the water treatment facility (the Council website indicates that there has been no progress since May 2019) indicate to me that there are serious shortcomings in the Council's ability to deliver projects. I suggest that when the waste water treatment facility is complete and operational and the Council has demonstrated that it can complete projects then it should re-present the 3 projects listed in the planning document for public comment.

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
173	Dan Broughton	B	A	B	<p>1. Town Centre Parking - Agree with option B. Parking is required, particularly with the level of traffic seen in Carterton rising (easily noticeable over the 10 years I have lived here). I do have some concern about the potential additional km that will be travelled / carbon footprint to and from the new yard location to the town centre, making the assumption that the council buildings in town will still be some sort of focus for the staff, and that the majority of work carried out is central to town and radiates out in either direction, whereas the new yard is proposed on the south end of the town. I hope that this can be considered, calculated and consulted with the CDC climate officer.</p> <p>2. Five Towns Trail - Agree with option A. It is crucial to connect Carterton to neighbouring towns, Greytown in particular offering incoming tourist traffic. Making it easy to access Carterton and Gladstone away from SH2 whilst not bypassing the township itself will support new and existing food/drink, retail business directly and make visiting Carterton District as a whole more practical.</p> <p>3. Town Centre Redevelopment - Agree with option B. Although it would be great to have council own and oversee significant development of High Street to provide a solution that works throughout, it seems financially impossible. With the pressures and ongoing visibility of rates being as it is, I do not believe we can currently justify the additional spend (and significant responsibility / management) to allow the council to operate as a development agency. Encouraging and guiding other developers, paving the way to a cohesive solution seems essential if we are to end up with a town centre (and beyond) that feels like it was planned and works effectively. Partnering this with the planned parking in appropriate spaces will make this more effective still. We need to have some public shared spaces open for "testing" of new business concepts whether via container shops, prefab/portable buildings or mobile (food trucks, etc) around some open spaces generated by pending building demolition. If council can facilitate to ask landowners to offer piecemeal rents or short-term free sites to give new start-ups a chance (or rehome those who will lose their existing building due to EQ deadlines), this will allow an already creative and capable town of entrepreneurs to blossom - hopefully in a connected manner. I will send some additional comments on the shared spaces to the Mayor shortly to continue discussions I have had in person.</p>
174	Ian Hawken	B	A	B	
175	Members of SUSTAINABLE WAIRARAPA, WAIRARAPA FOREST & BIRD and other CONCERNED CITIZENS	.	.	.	<p>SUBJECT: ACTION on the CLIMATE EMERGENCY</p> <p>Our message is simple: there are now innumerable reports and unassailable scientific evidence from a wide range of sources stating that there is indeed a very real climate emergency requiring urgent and meaningful action, not tomorrow, but today, NOW!</p> <p>It is time, therefore, that our political leaders at all levels of government STEP UP to their responsibility as leaders in their communities in raising awareness around the importance of understanding just what we are all going to have to increasingly face and the need to start planning for it.</p> <p>The climate crisis is now widely recognized as an existential threat to our future well-being with deep social, cultural and economic implications as well as the fundamental environmental impact.</p> <p>Time, then, to walk the talk and translate well-sounding words into urgent and concrete action backed by substantial increases in staffing, funding and community engagement.</p> <p>What you are all doing at this point is nowhere near enough.</p> <p>We would like to speak to this submission. Contact email above.</p>
176	Nik Rilkoﬀ Age Concern Wairarapa	.	.	.	<p>Age Concern Wairarapa requests a discussion regarding the three Consultation Items, from the point of view of an organisation that supports seniors across Wairarapa. Age Concern Wairarapa requests a discussion on how the Carterton District Council intends to fund Te Hōkai Nuku, the Joint Wairarapa Councils Positive Ageing Strategy. Again, this is from the point of view of an organisation that supports and advocates for seniors in Wairarapa in order that they be engaged; safe and involved in their community; and culturally and physically active. We are particularly keen to discuss Goal 1: Community Support and Health Services, and Goal 6: Places, Spaces and Activities.</p>
177	Emma Reading	A	B	B	

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
178	Stephen Wakefield	A	C	A	To become a mini Melbourne (Mayor Laings vision) you first must attract retail clothing etc back into town centre. Not just more food shops, as we seem to be leaning towards. With current building recourses stretched (new home builds etc). Ratepayers do not need more debt to raise our rates for a "want or nice to have" list.
179	Elizabeth Dye	B	A	B	
180	Marie gudsell	B	A	B	I support Council lobbying for financial input from investors for the bike trail. It's a wonderful project but I believe Carterton residents should not be subsidising its development through increased rates. Traffic has become a huge issue. I believe Council needs to lobby Waka Kotahi to progress a heavy vehicle bypass urgently. Alternatively, reduce the speed to 30k from Seddon St to Rhodes Street.
181	Lynley Brown	B	.	.	Yes please, more parking in/near Holloway St. Please reduce the speed limit SH2 Clareville for safety reasons.
182	David McCarthy	B	A	B	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. To consider the impact of any rates increase for a proposed new project. For example think development of cycle ways as necessary and beneficial to the community but a need to keep a close look at the cost. 2. To lobby Regional Council for better train service for the Wairarapa both in terms of timetable and fleet. 3. We need to get more people using the train and less cars going into the Hutt Valley and Wellington. Item 2 will help in getting more people onto the trains/buses. 4. Heavy traffic users (trucks) should be re directed away from the town centre. Say down via Rutland Road
183	John Harmsen	B	A	A	Accessways & public spaces should be part of the consent process for owners/investors and funded solely and or where equally for ratepayer benefit jointly with council. While there is considerable benefit to council/ratepayers for SH2 to form the main route through the town centre, there is hand in hand with expected (town and population) growth increased traffic volume, resultant congestion and increased danger to residents and other users. Hand in hand with planned expansion council should consider (in discussion with NZTA) the merits of a by pass to facilitate through traffic with the object of ensuring the safety of locals and visitors alike in turn enhancing the commercial and business infrastructure in the town. Ultimately creating business opportunities and a vibrant community will be lost if it cant be enjoyed because of a noisy, dangerous, congested and high volume highway.
184	Sue Fleet	B	A	B	Item - A pity the land where vets and Ranchmans was was sold fo houses, feel sure this could have been utilised for carparking at a lot less cost. We really do need to be sure that whatever we are going to spend is carefully looked, it has to be cost effective and not go in for huge overruns. The clock tower is one as an example.
185	Kylie Oldcorn	C	C	A	I would like to see the must haves done first. Water quality and quantity, footpaths, security cameras to stop the huge amount of destruction to the town centre and parks. These are all urgent and as much as trails and more parking would be great they are definitely not a necessity. Keep Carterton affordable. We are a family of 5 with young children. The cost of the rates and general living are getting so high that owning our own home is becoming increasingly difficult . At times it feels like we are being driven out, and the wealthy are being welcomed in. I've lived here all my life, this is home.

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
186	Michael O'Donnell	B	B	C	<p>On the relocation of the Depot to Dalefield: how are the staff being affected by this? How do they feel about being moved to the "dump" end of town, no doubt it will be more smelly etc next to the Water Treatment plant. A further consideration is that the new depot will no longer be in the centre of town implying extra travel and response times. Esp as the new eastern development takes shape.</p> <p>Creating new Public Spaces: We live in a recent subdivision and there are no access ways to the larger streets around us which would make it more walk and cycle friendly environment. Of course there are security considerations to be weighed up. I note the new proposed Eastern development includes walk ways etc. Is this standard being applied to other new subdivisions? It is an easy and inexpensive way for the council to achieve their aims without having to pay for it. As this is a Long Term Plan should it not be addressing the issue of a bypass of the town centre? If we are to anticipate a bypass then the centre of town needs to be in step with this. If no bypass is likely then we need to create a town not based on such a busy highway street. That is, the development needs to go down the side streets, e.g. Broadway, to get a little away from SH2, or create some new streets. The assumption seem to be for the status quo.</p> <p>With an additional 1000 houses... then the traffic is going be pretty awful... I don't see a vision in the plan for this.</p> <p>Why are we planning for another 1000 houses just because GWRC says we can expect that growth? Do we we really want such an increase - its going to inevitably change the town. Is that what is really desired?</p> <p>And where is the water to come from? I have read with interest the water parts of the plan and there is talk of finding more water and note funding has been allowed for this. However it many not be there. And how does this integrate with the proposed Water Storage Scheme (which I am not opposed to)? It is assumed this is in the planning mix somewhere, and if not then it should be.</p>
187	Tina Bailey	B	A	B	<p>I think the car parking and new public spaces are a good idea as part of the Town Centre Development. Carterton needs to be an attractive town, not only to visitors but also for businesses to want to occupy our town centre retail premises. I would like to see empty shops being filled by businesses that will stay in Carterton and not shut up and move on after a short while, which has happened in the past. I would be interested to know which land in town Council is proposing to purchase as part of the public spaces proposal. I feel that the town centre development must come before the Five Towns Trail project commences so that we have something to offer visitors who use it. They need to be drawn into town by what we have to offer other than Cafes and Eateries otherwise they will bypass us and Carterton will miss out. I would like to know how much input the other two Councils are having on this project and what their contribution towards the development of it will be. We did not receive any communication from Council about the proposed 1000 houses on the east side of town and so knew nothing about it until more recently, and we weren't the only ones after asking around. Council has to look into being able to supply the services for anymore developments carefully, we already pay the highest rates in the country and bringing in more housing is only going to impact on existing rate payers, some of whom may be struggling to pay their current rates.</p> <p>Carterton is a lovely small town and I believe we do not want to lose that feel by doing anything on too grand a scale. We must remember that we live in an agricultural area; we do not want to become a Masterton or worse, a Wellington by building more and more houses on agricultural land. Carterton will be spoilt in my view if it becomes too big. People come here because it isn't a city or large town but has a good feel to it, we are a rustic town with a big heart and a great community which we don't want to lose.</p>
188	Murray Burns	A	C	A	<p>Nice to have expenditure proposals are OK if you have the funding to fully meet the expense. Carterton does not have the resources or the need to undertake these projects. Further, the costs seem to underestimate the associated costs such as the capital costs/borrowing required to fund relocation of service functions. The Council is ignoring the need of the community for lower rates and projecting an atmosphere of responding to perceived wish lists for vanity and low priority projects in the absence of the reality of proper governance in a tight financial climate.</p> <p>It would also be interesting to understand what the infrastructure costs for the expansion of housing eastwards is estimated to be and how much will be contributed by developers and how much falls on ratepayers. It would be appreciated if you could send this information to me as an official information request. Thank you.</p>

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
189	Cohousing Wairarapa Catherine Cooper	.	.	.	<p>Cohousing Wairarapa envisions an empowered Wairarapa community meaningfully co-creating housing that holistically meets our social, cultural, environmental and economic needs now and into the future.</p> <p>We recognise the CDC’s efforts to engage and consult with the community to seek effective housing solutions. We also believe that acceleration of status-quo, developer-led housing will not respond to the complex, interrelated challenges that underpin and exacerbate the housing crisis.</p> <p>We propose that Council take bold steps to mandate and support diverse housing developments that meet the stated objectives of the Ten Year Plan: social, environmental, cultural and economic well-being, and make our District part of what could be a movement toward visionary change.</p> <p>Specifically, we propose that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Newly-zoned land in the Eastern Growth Area be made accessible to projects that can prove a high and robust level of environmental responsibility and direct partnership with community. <p>Taking into account proposed RMA reform (The Natural Built Environments Act) and the MBIE’s Building For Climate Change Programme, the onus is put on potential projects to ensure that development is in line with regenerative design principles, ensuring that new housing is beneficial to our community's social, environmental, cultural and economic well-being now and into the future.</p> <p>This approach would stimulate innovation in the local housing sector and respond to the Plan objectives: “Quality, fit for purpose infrastructure and services that are cost-effective and meet future needs,” “An environmentally responsible community committed to reducing our carbon footprint and adapting to the impacts of climate change,” and “Safe and resilient water supply, wastewater and stormwater systems.”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Council supports the community to guide its own development in partnership with government, industry, and hapū/iwi by actively seeking means to encourage and streamline compliance pathways for community-led collective housing developments such as co-housing, cooperative housing, and papakāinga. <p>Council recognises that our mainstream housing ecosystem fails to meet the needs of many in our community and supports groups such as Cohousing Wairarapa to facilitate housing projects that prioritise connection, environmental stewardship, and healthy, efficient homes over financial gain. Such developments, if skilfully executed, have proven potential to deliver significant benefit across all metrics in the future, building community wealth and supporting the health of people and the planet. This directly responds to Council’s vision of “a caring community that is safe, healthy and connected” and “an empowered community that participates in Council and community-based decision-making.”</p> <p>Council members already demonstrate a commitment to direct consultation with the community. To extend and consolidate this approach in relation to housing, a community housing champion could be appointed to assist, engage and advocate for groups pursuing collective housing initiatives. This person could act as an intermediary between Council and community, empowering individuals and groups to understand, work within, and create changes to existing frameworks.</p> <p>For more information on the history, benefits and future of collective housing in Aotearoa, please read the How We Live report.</p> <p>We are grateful for the steps Council is taking to seek and respond to community feedback on housing strategy. We believe that with vision and bold action, our District could become and exemplar of forward-thinking development that not only addresses the housing crisis, but holistically lays the foundations for a more connected, healthy, resilient community.</p>
190	Kimberley Gates	B	A	B	<p>In regard to the 5 Towns Trail, I would hope that other councils are contributing to these, given that the flow on effect will benefit all.</p> <p>In regard to the Town Centre spaces, like to see all businesses/landlords assisting/contributing in some way to providing an attractive appearance for their own retail space.</p>
191	Michael Hewison	B	A	B	<p>Tread slowly and carefully with Five Towns Trail project and Town Center Redevelopment. I would challenge the notion that final costs for the car parking project will not be known until construction starts. I would expect a much better and more robust project management process with milestones that would significantly reduce the risk profile of this and any other project. I believe that under the current central government process with so many very large issues up in the air that the rating implications could also be very significant so I agree with mostly focusing on business as usual. I would want a very strong rope anchoring any possible contribution to this project.</p>

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
192	David Timms	B	A	B	<p>Please continue to work hard on the 5 Towns Trail. To have this completed would be great on so many levels - health, connectedness, business & tourism opportunity, climate change.</p> <p>While I agree with the comment that the Council may not currently have the skills to act as a development agency, I would suggest that it should be every Council staff member's overall responsibility to promote, develop and generally make the district a better (safer, healthier, more vibrant, fun) place to be and live.</p> <p>I really would like the Council to be active in encouraging new businesses into the District, especially the main road. And in helping existing businesses to stay - e.g. the brewery.</p> <p>I do support the Council's drive to carefully manage the rates burden and to ensure funds are spent wisely.</p>
193	Jeremy Holmes WREMO	.	.	.	<p>Overall, very supportive of the various references in the LTP to climate change, investment in infrastructure (3 waters in particular), commitment to earthquake-prone buildings, emergency management and developing closer stronger relationships with Māori. However, request that the first bullet point on page 34 regarding the community emergency hub at the Carterton Events Centre be changed from "including staff to operate the community emergency hub" to "working with community members to operate the community emergency hub" as the aim of the community emergency hub is for members of the community to be able to self organise rather than rely on local government officials who are likely to be heavily committed to other tasks during an emergency. Also suggest that a commitment to learning from emergencies that do occur - both lessons identified and how to 'build back better' to help reduce future risk - would be a useful addition to the document. Thankyou.</p>
194	Martina Day	B	A	B	<p>The parking available for the event should be available also for town parking (there is very limited parking in town at the moment). I think the items on the wish list (apart from the yard relocation) and parking development) should be secondary to the upgrade and improvement of the water supply, waste water pipe system, and infrastructure of the town, a lot of which must be old and in need of work.</p> <p>I think all new builds should have mandatory installation of water tanks as a new build requirement (or why not a requirement of house sale and purchases as well). A huge water waste going on and wouldn't that save both water from the Kaip and wastewater issues?</p> <p>I think rates minimisation is crucial. I see your overhead and internal cost structure has trebled in 10 years?? Too easy to spend other people's money.</p>
195	Helen Dew	C	A	B	<p>1 - Parking: I do not support moving the operations yard to Dalefield Rd. Land there would be better used to establish a plant nursery - a proposal I understand was made and rejected some time ago on grounds of there being no land available. But land is now available for the Ops yard??</p> <p>I do not support the proposal to build a hotel/accom on Council land in Holloway St. I doubt a business case study would find this proposal viable, for a variety of reasons. I think Climate Change is by far the most serious and urgent issue facing Carterton. I don't think Council is doing nearly enough to address this issue!</p>
196	Sue Elton	A	A	B	
197	Elisa Brown	B	A	B	
198	Rachael Dell	.	.	.	<p>Hi - just squeezing in a quick submission before deadline and taking the opportunity to comment about the odour (sometimes quite strong) from the Dalefield Sewerage Treatment plant - it can be pretty pongy some days. Given this is a 35 year resource consent - and odours may only increase as it services a growing population, please consider what can be done to reduce the smell for the comfort of nearby residents (like us). Plantings for example.</p>
199	Tim & Kat Horsbrugh	B	B	B	<p>Opening up more sections to build new houses, thank you for making this a priority. We need leadership to plan a roading bypass around the town BEFORE we start planning zone changes and development. Please put a town bypass plan as a priority even if this is 20 years away. Thank you.</p>

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
200	Jen Craddock	B	A	B	<p>KEY ISSUES</p> <p>1. Town centre car parking. I support option B but ONLY with adequate space allocated to EVs, bikes and with incentives put in place for Carterton residents to carpool, walk or bike to events.</p> <p>2. Five towns trail projects I support option A.</p> <p>3. Town centre redevelopment I tentatively support option B but would like more information on the pros and cons of each option. The whole community needs to discuss and understand this.</p> <p>Other comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CLIMATE CHANGE. The consultation document says we should be 'committed to reducing our carbon footprint and adapting to the impacts of climate change'. I understand that funds are limited but we also know that the costs of climate change will rise exponentially within the next generation. What is the council doing or planning right now to achieve change in the next 10 years? I realise the council has an official Climate Change Strategy, but the targets are so vague and small they will do next to nothing to mitigate our carbon footprint. • WATER. Drought's our major threat. PLEASE let's make water tanks compulsory for new houses. It's only part of the answer, but it's much easier than retrofitting, costs relatively little and means less flooding from overloaded stormwater systems. Could council not subsidise tanks for existing houses too? The longer we wait, the more expensive this gets. I'd be willing to help pay for this through rates. • TRANSPORT. The main initiative in the draft 10-year plan is a big new carpark (ok, aside from essential maintenance of water pipes/sewerage etc, which is great). I get it. But where (at the very least) are the charging points for EVs? How about 25% of the space given over to bike parks, and incentives for biking or car-pooling to events? The cycle trail is a great start, but what about other green/public transport incentives eg for starting up an EV shuttle service? • VISION. We need to create a clear, inspiring vision for our town centre - guided by planning experts as well as community. We all want a bustling, creative, clean, green town that's a destination for locals and tourists alike. Who really likes hanging out on High Street right now? OK, we can't divert SH2 immediately, but shouldn't we start planning/lobbying for it? To reduce traffic in other ways, we could intensify inner-town housing over the next decade - double storey new builds at least. People come here for the lifestyle, but not all of them want to cut lawns and keep chooks. Imagine, people stepping out their front doors and walking 2 minutes to our cafes, shops, shared offices and parks every morning. This would also limit the sprawl over valuable and increasingly rare land that could be set aside for food production and bush reserves. <p>Ngā mihi, warm regards and thanks for all you do.</p>

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
201	Lisa Birrell	B	A	.	<p>Town Centre Car Parking I would like to support the relocation of the operations yard to Daleton Farm. However, with the medium to long term aim being to identify an alternative additional parking site alongside the Carterton Eastern Growth Strategy and wider District Plan review. In addition, with regards to parking what are the plans regarding inclusion of EV and bicycle parking? It would be helpful if we were provided with more details and information regarding what actual parking benefit would be achieved including eco-friendly options.</p> <p>Five Towns Trail Project Begin work on Trail 1 with the potential to extend subject to more communication and information being provided regarding links to community fundraising initiatives such as: https://greytownrailtrail.org.nz/the-future/ and other Carterton driven initiatives.</p> <p>Town Centre Redevelopment None of the options. The town would benefit from a cohesive vision for the town that developers are required to work within. This could be via the Council: a. sub-contracting to an external development agency. b. working with other towns/planning departments who have undertaken similar projects. c. CDC create a town development steering group to undertake this alongside community strategic partners e.g. Ka Pai and Destination Wairarapa. I appreciate these would be expensive, however, the increased revenue growth achieved for the local economy via increased visitor numbers and more locals using the town centre could potentially offset this. This would then increase the value/rental income for the commercial properties. Alongside this would be the wellbeing benefits that a flourishing well planned urban environment provides to its citizens. Similar projects I have seen undertaken in the UK have been very strategic and targeted in identifying and defining a. what the town would look like b. what types of businesses/services are required i.e. for locals and/or to attract visitors. Carterton risks a Featherston type stalemate with regards to its town development if it is not able to directly influence outcomes either via the creation of a vision for the town or high levels of control through the district plan with regards to what building and development can occur. In addition, I would like more information regarding the CDC plan for Financial Contribution to infrastructure development from developers. I note the largest share of the capital spending is on roads and footpaths - what % of this is being funded by the developers. What is the CDC plan for including this in the review of the District Plan and including this as part of the Eastern Growth Strategy plan?</p>

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
202	WellingtonNZ	.	.	.	<p>INTRODUCTION WellingtonNZ, the Regional Economic Development Agency for the Wellington Region (WREDA), supports economic performance throughout the region to enhance prosperity and liveability. We market the Wellington Region as a destination for visitors, migrants, and investors; help businesses grow and innovate; advocate for the Region’s economy; attract and promote major events and run Wellington city’s major civic venues. As the agency responsible for promoting and developing Wellington Region as a visitor destination, WellingtonNZ believes it is important to make its views known in relation to proposed Council activity that could influence its operations, as well as Wellington Region’s destination development and marketing in the future.</p> <p>OUR SUBMISSION Carterton District Council’s 10-year plan proposes a number of activities which intersect with the interests and activity of WellingtonNZ. The Five Towns Trails Project WellingtonNZ leads the facilitation of the Wellington Regional Trails Framework on behalf of all the Councils in the region, other stakeholder agencies and user groups, we together endeavour to make Wellington Region a world-class destination for tracks and trails and to encourage both visitors and residents to get out there and explore the outdoors. The development of The Five Towns Trails would be an investment in a trail which the Wellington Regional Trails Framework indicates has the potential to be one of a small number of ‘Signature Trails’ in our region. It will not only provide excellent recreational facilities for the locals but would also offer economic opportunities from a tourism perspective. The proposed establishment of the new trail links would complement existing signature and regional trails in our network and enhance the overall recreational experience. We support the proposal to develop The Five Town Trails network. We look forward to seeing the planned activities in establishing the project in the next few years and would look forward to our team working on that development with Carterton District Council.</p> <p>Town Centre Redevelopment WellingtonNZ supports the re-development of Carterton’s town centre to become an attractive, well-designed environment. A town centre which has undergone careful design and development while maintaining the original character buildings is important for economic development and inducing vibrancy and vitality. It will not only enhance the liveability for residents but will support our visitor and business sectors. A well-designed and attractive town centre will cause more visitors to choose Carterton as a place to visit and attract businesses and investment. WellingtonNZ supports Carterton District Council’s goals in delivering these two projects and moving them forward in the next 10 years. These projects must be delivered in a logical fashion that enables the business of the city to continue whilst work is underway. Thank you for the opportunity to submit to your 10-year plan 2021 – 2031</p>
203	Linda Allan	C	A	B	<p>Love the commitment to walking, cycling tracks, would like the council to advertise for donations i.e. Greytown Rail Trail - you can donate native trees etc to enhance/beautify trail or bequests. Some would probably be willing to fund benches, chairs - i.e. men's shed could construct but materials provided. Very please with Council's vision and communication process.</p>
204	Ross Black	B	A	B	<p>I wanted to reiterate his full support for what we are doing for Carterton and our District ... he is extremely passionate about Carterton and said we can count on him for whatever we need</p>

CDC LTP 2021-2031 Submissions summary

Sub No.	Submitter	Town Centre Car Parking	Five Towns Trail Project	Town Centre Redevelopment	Comments from Submitter
205	Matiu Andrews-Cookson	A	B	C	<p>In response to the consultation document I have the following feedback:</p> <p>I support option A for town centre parking. My reasons for this are that in most towns, it is normal to walk a short distance from parking to a venue. I do not believe the distance is unreasonable in Carterton for events. I believe the economic benefit of increasing parking is negligible and runs counter to a low carbon future. I would rather these funds are made available elsewhere.</p> <p>I support option B for the Five Towns Trail project. All local authorities will be required to demonstrate meaningful commitment to carbon reduction and climate change action. Climate change policy is becoming intensely litigious and recent action in Thames and Auckland have demonstrated that councils and elected council members can and will be targeted for damages if they do not measure up on action. We cannot afford this kind of action in the future and need to be ahead of the game on low carbon initiatives to head this off. I expect our elected members would be equally keen to avoid damages. I also believe that investing in the trail, although expensive, will return major economic dividends which will offset the cost. My one comment is I would still like CDC to pursue grants and community fund raising for at least some of the cost. From my perspective, I would be happy to pay up to \$200 per year more rates if my kids could ride safely to school.</p> <p>I support option C for Town centre redevelopment. If we don't take an active role, we will get a below par town centre and money will be spent outside our district. If CDC is effective in its development the returns on investment should far outstrip the outlay in the long term. Please don't allow more average buildings like the new pharmacy. It makes our town look like a strip suburb in Hamilton. Either set heritage design rules like Greytown or come up with something new and fresh. I would like to see more trees, green spaces and places for outdoor dining/stalls than we have a present. Heritage style or innovate are fine either. Just don't allow average. How about do something different and incorporate our Ngati Kahungunu/Rangitane heritage into our new commercial architecture?</p> <p>In general, I have a few comments. I am a bit concerned about efficiencies at CDC and in particular costs from high staff turnover and messy management. I don't know all the ins and outs obviously but would like leadership to do a full review of operations and look for ways of being more efficient with spending. It may be that you are already doing as well as can be done but I would like you to at least do the review and see what comes up.</p> <p>I am not happy with the way new residential developments are occurring. In my opinion they do not integrate sustainability principles such as native plant and animal habitats, direct cycling and walking routes and tree cover. They look like average Auckland sprawl. I realise that there are limits to how much CDC can influence these but I would like the council to try harder to ensure new development is world leading not at the tail end of the game.</p> <p>I do not believe developer contributions are enough and truly taking into account the long term costs of more population. Please at least double developer contributions if not quadruple them. They will still make handsome profits and the money can be used to make Carterton more desirable still.</p>
206	Molly Rose O'Dwyer	.	.	.	<p>Hi I am Molly, I am 10.</p> <p>I would like to make a suggestion about the Carterton pools slide in the 6 feet deep pool because I think that we need to upgrade it a little, by maybe making it a little bigger in width and length.</p> <p>I have three reasons why; reason one, I would like to make it higher because some people are too afraid to go down because you either have to lie down flat or head first. Reason two, when you are going down you can't see if anyone is in front of the slide and you could easily hit someone at the bottom. Last is that could you please put an open roof on the slide and that is all I would like to say.</p> <p>Thank you for reading this and I hope my wish comes true.</p>